No Gods but God

When we question the "priests" they say!

"These men are mad"

Christianity

Judaism

Hinduism

Islam

Greek mythology

Philosophy

Shiaism

Gnostics'

Stoicism

Sikhism Zoaristran

Buddhism

Unitarianism

.

Scientology

theosophy

Athiesm

Warning, this book aint for the faint!!

Memorise This Dawah handbook.

By Abdul Huq bin Ahmed Bhyat

Index

Page God The Exalted in might, The most Wise 6 The old lady and her 9 The Protestant Geneva council The burning of miguel. 10 The Sectret hidden books "apocrapha" 12 St Jerome the great translator the Synoptic Gospels problem 9 Paul and Barnabus disagree 15 Paul commanded by James to purify himself 10 the old covenant endangered 10 Paul meets his saviour 11 Barnabas disappears 11 The tree of life

12
Dispute in the Roman empire and the council of nicea 12
The Roman empire strikes back 13
The birth and Rise of God 13
Who is who in the Zoo 14
What went Wrong
14 Emperors Way or the high Way
15 Dont you dare question our
authority 15 History
after the council of nicea 16
Mithraism Hinduism Buddhism =Christianity 16
church father St Justin
16 church father St Clement of
Alexander 16
church Father St Augustine of Hippo 17
we must Brain wash em! 18
Christ is God, God is Christ 18
Judaism 19
The Greek Old Testament 19
The Jerusalem or Hebrew old testament
20 so how did
the Jews influence the old testament 20
So am i Crazy or is it just my Imagination 22
The lost books of the torah 22
A list of the hidden apocrapha from the new testament
26 the different
books compared to todays bible 29
Who decided which books should be gods word in the
bible 30
The canonization of scripture 30
Who took the apocrypha out of the bible
31

What is a protestant? 31
Three doctrines in the bible 32
Unitarian Christians 32
its all about the holy ghost 32
silence i kill you 33
Sir Isaac Newton 33
Newton's religious view 33 newtons law on reality 33
The followers of socianus were burnt alive 34 socianus debates 34
Confessions of the holy father 35
The martyr john Biddle 35
Dark side of Christian history and superstitious murders 36
Genocide a council order 37
Francis David the reformed Christian 37
The Quraan 38
Why the coming of Islam and mohammed 39
Why use the Jewish and Christian scriptures as evidence in Islam 39
Evidence of Allah in the Jewish and Christian bibles 39
who is Mohummed 40
Ishmael the legitimate son of Abraham 40
Evidence from the bible about Mohummed 42
evidence in the new testament About mohummed 41
Mohamed hijacked out of the torah 41
what is the holy Quran 43
Muslims kill in the name of Allah 43
Islam the cancer of the west 44

the disappearance of the trinity 45
the fountain of Islam's purity 45

4

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَـنِ الرَّحِيمِ

In the name of Allah (god) the supremely compassionate the supremely merciful

About the Author

In my quest as a student searching to find the truth, I had ventured through many books, archives, ancient

scriptures and consulted with scholars. my reasoning as well as desire to ultimately come to the truth was purely motivated by fear and hope in the mercy of my creator .I put my trust entirely in my creator and sought guidance directly from him. The Reality of a creator was apparent within me from a tender age. May god shower his mercy on my late mother (may Allah be pleased with her) for having made me aware and conscious of god?

Encouraged to look at all creation and simply conclude there exists a god was rather very easy. The complication however arose at a much older age. I needed to know and then began to question. Does this creator really exist? Where is he? My rebellious nature made me a person who never believed in becoming a blind follower. I never accept anything from any one without checking and rechecking.

My arguments have always earned me an uneasy reputation. I was quite honestly disliked by the dictating bigots of the church, and yet I was loved, by those who considered themselves Students, Students with enquiring minds. Strange but true ,I have come to realize that even the most learned priests simply could not handle the idea, that an ordinary man could have grounds to disagree with them. My Father always advised me to continue and do what ever I wanted to, as long as it never went against the will of the creator.

Having a limited schooling due to circumstances, I earned me a place as a watch maker on the streets in my town of Vereeniging, South Africa. As a young boy, living in a time were Racism was so strong and seeing a

government implement it as though it was normal made me realize, something needs to be done. My personal choice was to challenge these confronting problems. Having interacted with Black activist i realized the importance of black consciousness. This in reality was not the solution. The solution was God consciousness. But who was I to talk, for was I really god conscious?

I made the decision to find the truth within modern science and the religions of the world. Spiritualism had its benefits but Idolatry simply was not a rational science to me. Many years of research within Christianity, Judaism and Islam have made me recognize that my needs were to discover the actual truths and see for my self. I critically examined Islam, Judaism,Christianity, Hinduism, Buddhism and ancient religions .I studied there books of authority. ***The Torah, the Holy Bible, the Holy Quran, the Veda's. I ventured deep into the philosophical writings of old.*** **Which is God's word I asked ?** *Three Abrahmic religions created from one God! It can't be. Most certainly I trust the creator of the worlds can never be the author of confusion. It is most true that man creates confusion.*

Not only is my work a basic study but an exposé of the deception of religious leaders who frame mankind into worshiping what they want. With this I say ''you have all the right to reject whatever I say, as well as except what you feel is true. No man has the right to force you to believe in that which you disagree in, for that is your GOD given right".

I wish to thank my father and teachers for there dedication and patience in teaching and advising me, Shaikh Abdul Razzack from Somalia, sheikh Kammel al makki from the usa for his commitment on Dawah strategy, Sheikh al Hassan al Magrib from morocco and sheikh Rida al misri from Egypt for his contribution to my understanding of Islam. With gratitude to

the many Christian and Jewish scholars who gave me the necessary information into the sciencs of there faiths.

I thank all the reverts who (have assisted me in editing as well as contributing to the writings ,Amaarah Amy bailey (x catholic), Lameez Lynette visser (x Jehovah witness) Steven hamza ndlovo (x protestant) as well as Gammal kattab (x jew) for assisting me in my research and writing.

I thank the mighty Creator for allowing me to benefit from the works of great scholars. Their wisdom and scholarship are the basis of my objective thinking. May Allah shower his infinite mercy on Shaykh ibn Taymiyya. His great works exposing Christian doctrines in the 13 century were unchallenged; his student ibn qayyim al jawziyya authored the famous poem "O Christ-worshipper" which unapologetically examined the dogma of trinity propounded by many Christian sects at the time.

It is from ibn Taymiyyah, Ibn Hazm and Ali Tabaris works that the famous book translated by an Indian scholar Shaykh Kairanvi in 1864 called Izharul haq was discovered by the Muslim scholar of Christian studies Shaykh Ahmed Deedat .The dedicated effort of Shaikh deedat (may Allah Grant him peace) in turn structured a global movement calling to Islam. In the light of pure Islam I am grateful to the Mujtahid of our time Shaykh Nasruddeen al baani for his deep insight and open mindedness in the study of hadith which clearly explain the link between hadith from the Jewish and Christian sources compared to Sahih hadith. These were indeed great scholars who against all odds were steadfast on the truth in there time. Most certainly they leave scholars in

the oceans of Tawheed. May the creator of the worlds shower his mercy upon us all and guide us to the truth. ameen

Abdulhuq bin Ahmed

Who is this God that creates?

Who is God? I always asked, why did he create me? (1) Why did God create us knowing that we can never harm him nor benefit him? Does God really need us to worship him when we not equal to a speck to that which he has created in the expanse of space and time?

A wise man once said "if all mankind got together and worshiped God or if all of mankind got together and disobeyed god, then know that our actions have no effect on God, because God's majesty is independent to what he has created".

Christians simply say: Believe! For this is what our bible says! GOD commands it! Yes! "**God is the father, God is the son, and God is the Holy Spirit**(2) .There are amongst the Christians some who believe that **God is Christ, God is the father and God is the spirit** (**protestants**) others say **"no"** Christ is an ordinary man and not a god (**Unitarian Christians** (3)

There are Christians who believe Jesus was not crucified (**ebionite Christians**) (4). Some say the spirits of Saints take you to god and Mary is the mother of god (**Catholics**). The ideas that form this logic create more

confusion then solution. Christians simply can't talk religion anymore; it may immediately result into an argument. This we know is true and we are also guilty of this type of behaviour.

---

1. Why were we created (abu ameenah billal Phillips)

2. Verses concerning the trinity in the bible that were tempered with. read pg

3. Jehovah witnesses and such groups read page

4. Much can be found about them in Islamic history as well as in the Christian history. Manuscripts called the Nag Hamadi manuscripts contain there gospel

Today's Christianity comes in all shapes and sizes with a very large variety of flavours'. The beautiful spectrum thrown by the rainbow of Christian belief is truly fascinating, so rich and so separated. As Christians we boast that we are the most on the face of this earth, 2 billion strong. Above all we must admit with helplessness. Our hearts are disunited and our minds lay confused.

The research and discoveries we make may be rather very adventures as well as thought provoking, however this may not be for the one who gropes within darkness and does not want to understand, this book is definitely not for the arrogant, the stubborn, the deaf the dumb and the blind..

Thinking men may ask, Will the creator of the worlds question me? For was it really necessary to do research and ultimately find the truth? After all: "Sight without insight is darkness".

The old lady and her bible

In 1639 the wife of a jeweller in Poland, Catherine Vogel At the age of eighty confessed and professed holding firm on her **non catholic, non protestant and anti trinity stance.** She grew up as a true and diligent Christian; she came from a religious home.

Her crime was that she believed that God was one; that He was the Creator of the Seen and the Unseen worlds; and that God could not be conceived of by the human intellect. This is, of course, the pure metaphysics of true faith in the oneness of GOD. **Her punishment was to be burned alive.** She argued!

"Indeed there cannot be more than one being who controls the dominion of the heavens and earth

Miguel de servet

Ever heard of the Protestant Geneva Council?

Michael Servetus also Miguel de Servet 1511 AC .He was a **theologian** and **humanist.** He was the first European to describe the function of blood circulation. His interests included many sciences**: Astronomy, meteorology, geography, jurisprudence and the** study of the **Bible. Mathematics, anatomy** and **medicine .**He is

renowned in history in several of these fields, **particularly medicine and theology**. His works on how blood circulates was used in Europe for **500 years**.

He participated in the Protestant Reformation; He **later developed a non trinity Christology**. The theologian Michael Servetus was Condemned by Catholics and Protestants alike. Michael had to be punished. He was condemned by order of the **Protestant Geneva governing** council as a **heretic in** 1546 he wrote a book on spiritual regeneration. **He attacked the doctrine of the Trinity.** He said that the Nicene Creed dishonoured the idea of redemption. That was dangerous thinking during the Protestant Reformation. Servetus sent a copy of his book to Calvin. John Calvin took it very badly. He ordered Servetus's arrest and trial as a heretic.

The Burning of Miguel

A tribunal sentenced Servetus to burn in a fire fuelled by slow-burning green wood along with his own books. It is said that he was chained and burnt alive painfully and slowly with his famous book dialogue de trinity. The spectators wilfully tried to fuel the fire for they could not bear to see a man in so much torment.

His crime was to believe that God the creator to be purely one. Michel de Servetus was as true as could be for having the strength to sacrifice him self as a sign for later generations' (5)

As Christians we should seriously ask, how did all these scholars of the bible discover the teachings of one and only one true God. They denied the idea of the trinity or the idea of many gods in one notion. Don't forget that this happened in 1511. One hundred years before King James compiled the King James Bible

in 1611. **Another interesting fact is that the trinity is never even mentioned once in the bible by the word trinity.**

The early Christian writer Tertullian once said!!

“The blood of martyrs is the seed of the Church” That takes on a strange irony in the case of Miguel

Fuller writes that "such burning of heretics startled the common people. Because of the severe punishment they received. People were ready to entertain good thoughts about the opinions of the so called heretics, they were a people who sealed there doctrines and expressed their beliefs so manfully with their blood."

Crazy men!

Protestant and Catholic Church fathers were way more ruthless then the mafia. Yep they burned hundreds of so called heretics’ alive. Just a few are mentioned in my book so as to show that many disagreed with both catholic and protestant doctrines.

---

5. the most controversial books he wrote were
a. de trinitatis erroribus (errors of the trinity),
b. dialogorum de trinitate (dialogues on the trinity) and (d)a book called from
c. de isustitia Regni Christi (the justice of Christ’s Reign) the flames records his life

Do I believe in the bible? Well then! Read and find out!!

The secret hidden books: “Apocrypha”

The word ***apocrypha***, from the Greek ἀπόκρυφος, "hidden", refers in **general** to religious works that are not considered part of the **canon.** The reason they are not part of the canon is they were hidden. **Canon** just means part of the book. Apocrypha does not mean unauthentic as they claim it to be.

We are not aware of church politics. This word apocrypha really means **"hidden"** hidden from the people. So with this ahead of our research we ask why! **Why hide it from us**! Many books uncovered over the centuries ranging from the 4th century Before Christ to the 3rd century after Christ or New Testament times are considered **hidden. The apocrypha have been variously included and omitted from bibles over the course of the centuries**.

Protestant churches **exclude** the extra gospels, while the Roman Catholic and Orthodox churches **include** some of the apocrypha books. However there are loads of these gospels not exposed to the public unless if discovered through some miracle or a leak from the secret archives of the church. **The differences in these books create lots of speculation as to the true beginnings of the original church of Christ** (6) The term "apocrypha" was coined by the fifth-century biblical scholar St. Jerome and refers to the biblical books of the Septuagint (Greek translation)**.**
Before this no body ever mentioned that these books were forbidden or not inspired. In fact Jerome himself believed that the Septuagint (Greek translation) was not inspired and tempered with by the translators'. St Jerome is recognized as the greatest of bible translators of all times. He is held in high esteem by all.

---

6. Hundreds of gospels uncovered are today challenging the fundamentals' of the church and putting many of the gospels and letters of Paul under scrutiny read pg

The Christian writers have this to say about St Jerome

When the writers of the New Testament quoted the Old Testament , they did so not **according to the letter but according to the spirit. There are many subtleties and even contradictions in the explanations Jerome offers,** but we must bear in mind his evident sincerity. **He does not try to cloak over his ignorance** he admits that there are **many difficulties in the Bible**; at times he seems quite embarrassed.

Finally, he proclaims a principle, **which, if** recognized as legitimate, might serve to adjust the insufficiencies of his criticism. He asserts that in the Bible there is no material error due to the ignorance or the heedlessness of the sacred writer, but he adds: **"It is usual for the sacred historian to conform himself to the generally accepted opinion of the masses in his time"** [7]

With the evidence we have so far, we ask who Decides for mankind as to what is inspired and what is god's word?

Jerome simply saw that there lay a sad reality within the bible text i.e. the hand of men.

---

7. (Catholic encyclopedia: St Jerome) (P.L., XXVI, 98; XXIV, 855).

The synoptic problem

Ever heard of the term "synoptic gospels" well then… "Synoptic" is a Greek word meaning "one look or one glimpse" this refers to the fact that all the events were recorded with one pair of eyes. The Similarities in the three gospels are shocking; they show roots of a parallel nature. Mathew and Luke are copied from Mark and then edited.

St clement of Alexandria *summarized the last book of john saying* "John last writer of all, conscious that the 'bodily facts of the gospels had been set forth he then composed a Spiritual gospel" (8)

Scholars and saints are all in agreement that the similarities' between Mark, Mathew and Luke are too great to be ignored. Bible scholars are in agreement that mark was written first, Mathew and Luke copy from Mark and then add on creating there gospels.

When bible writers copy sentences and paragraphs word for word, then it shows that the words ***"writers were inspired by god" now take on a new meaning called "plagiarism or copying". The irony of scripture being inspired by god is hard to believe when Mathew, mark, Luke, john and Paul's books under close scrutiny prove that these books were***

never really written by any of them.

Why I say that? The answer is logical, think and ask. Are these 100% books written by Mathews, mark, Luke and johns?

---

8. Eusebius narrates this saying concerning St Clements statement, again bringing into life many unanswered questions relating to ***“they were inspired by the holy spirit”***

Did Mathew write the book of Mathew

Please explain the meaning of these passages. ***Matthew 9:9*** *and as Jesus passed forth from thence,* ***he saw a man, named Matthew****, sitting at the receipt of custom: and he saith unto him, Follow me. And he arose, and followed him.* [9]

Mathew 10: 2 *Now the names of the twelve apostles are these; The first, Simon, who is called Peter, and Andrew his brother; James the son of Zebedee, and John his brother;3 Philip, and Bartholomew; Thomas, and* ***Matthew the publican*** *(tax collector); James the son of Alphaeus, and Lebbaeus, whose surname was Thaddaeus;* [10]

Did Mathew really write the book of Mathew when he speaks of himself from the angle of a third person?

It is naturally a third persons account of there lives and not there own account.” What does it mean

when the title says "The Gospel according to Mathew***" this gospel is not his work but an account written by someone else about Mathew's account of Christ life.***

Does god inspire by copying??

Did john write the book of john?

John 1:40 *One of the two which heard (John the Baptist) speak, and followed him, was Andrew, Simon Peter's brother. And John (the disciple of Jesus) also was baptized in Aenon near to Salim, because there was much water there: and they came, and were baptized.* ***Why would John write as if he witnessed himself getting baptized by john the Baptist? Here we have evidence that john never wrote the book of John.***

9 -10. King James versions of 1611, this is the first ever bible published in England. *14*

Did Paul and Barnabas really disagree to agree?

In the beginning... There was a dispute. The apostle of Jesus called Barnabas and a convert called Paul. Recorded in acts we read, Saul converts from a Jew to a Christian, changing his name to Paul. The disciples of Jesus have doubt in Paul; they simply did not trust a man like him because of his hatred towards the church. (13)

Acts chapter 9 verses 1; And Saul, yet breathing out threatening and slaughter against the disciples of the Lord. and (Acts chapter 9 verse26) (And when Saul was

come to Jerusalem, he assayed to join himself to the disciples: but they were all afraid of him, and believed not that he was a disciple.) [11]

It is Barnabas an apostle of Jesus who accepts Paul and gives Paul the support he needs, he takes him to the other apostles and tries to convince them to except Paul

(Acts chapter 9 verse 27) (But Barnabas took him, and brought him to the apostles, and declared unto them how he had seen the Lord in the way, and that he had spoken to him, and how he had preached boldly at Damascus in the name of Jesus.)[12]

11 King James versions of 1611 this is the first bible ever published in England.

12. King James versions of 1611 this is the first bible ever published in England.

13 Please use the King James Version of 1611 as a reference bible. All new later versions have been tempered with resulting in confusion when reading my book. Even the new King James Version is not the same. _

James and the disciples command Paul to purify himself for rejecting the commandments of Moses (the law)!

(Acts 21:21-26) 21 **And they are informed of thee, that thou teaches all the Jews which are among the Gentiles to forsake Moses, saying that they**

ought not to circumcise their children, neither to walk after the customs..

23 Do therefore this that we say to thee: We have four men which have a vow on them;

24 Take them, **and purify thyself with them**, and be at charges with them, that they may shave their heads: and all may know that those things, whereof they were informed concerning thee, are nothing; but that thou thyself also **walks orderly, and keeps' the law**.

26 Then Paul took the men, and the next day purifying himself with them entered into the temple, to signify the accomplishment of the days of purification, until that an offering should be offered for every one of them.) [14]

---

14. *King James versions of 1611 this is the first bible ever published in England.*

The greatest commandment of Jesus

Mathew 5:19 : if any men therefore sets aside even

the least of the law demands, and teaches others to do the same ,he will have the lowest in the kingdom of heaven

Jesus says (Mathew chapter 10) “know that I have not come with a new law but to fulfill the law of mosses”.

Jesus says no jot or title shall past by the law

The refusal to accept and fulfill the laws of Moses such as circumcision, fasting, eating pure foods, inheritance, cleanliness, Sabbath, modesty, etc by modern day preachers is no secret even though this contradicts the law and words of Jesus and his true disciples.

Was Jesus a trouble maker?

So why did Jesus harass the scribes and Pharisees so much? They never obeyed the law and always changed the commandments. Jesus simply pressurized all Jews including his **disciples to follow the path of faith with actions.** Why did Jesus wash the feet of his disciples before entering the temple? This indicates a good way of teaching Christians that physical cleanliness influences spiritual cleansing.

The fact that Christ instructed his followers to circumcise and not take interest money on cash loans or fast according to the law was all seen as a man who is a trouble maker and causing problems for the Jews. This would bring an end to bribery, priesthood and racial discrimination which are the tactics used by Jews to survive.

15. *King James versions of 1611 this is the first bible ever published in England.*

Is the old covenant endangered or simply extinct?

The creating of a new "law" and calling it the new covenant by Paul simply surprised even the disciples*;* the confusion within Christianity can be traced as early as this time. As we continue we unveil treasures of hidden Christian truths. Barnabas refuses to leave the disciples of Jesus. Paul refuses to take them and then they disagree and separate.

We trace early disagreements in Christian scriptures, Paul disagrees with the apostles Barnabas and James. The fact that the source of these disputes have been kept silent by those who later took hold of Christian scriptures is no surprise. Yes! The first official split in Christianity. **Paul separates due to disagreements the apostles, Barnabas, mark, James and the elders had with him.**

(acts chapter 15 verse 39) **And the contention was so sharp between them(Paul and Barnabas)**, that they departed asunder one from the other: and so Barnabas took Mark, and sailed unto Cyprus;

Paul and Peter have a problem

Galatians 2: Paul announced "I opposed Peter to his face because he was wrong "After Paul's confrontation with Peter there seemed to be no further contact between the two men and they ceased to have amicable

relations [16] Barnabas also deserted Paul in the dispute and this speaks volumes since they were bosom buddies.

Please realize that Peter James and Barnabas met Jesus and lived with him, they would never have went against what they were thought

---

16. (S.G.F Brandon the fall of Jerusalem p138)

Barnabas saves Paul

It is from here that the scriptures written by Luke [17] and Paul end very strangely and suddenly, nothing is ever mentioned again about Barnabas the disciple of Jesus. **Scholars agree that the book of Acts was indeed heavily influenced by Paul and written by Luke; Luke was Paul's personal physician.** The reason I have made mention and lay importance to this relationship between Paul and Barnabas in the book of Acts, is simply to prove

That this was the only way Paul could possibly win credence. Paul would not have stood a chance nor would he have been accepted by Christ apostles if it were not for Barnabas.

Barnabas was used as a tool in the scripture to secure Paul's testimony, thus Paul is able to become the greatest preacher for Christianity. The crime today by

Pastors and ministers are to quote more of Paul than Christ. Paul's own scriptures try to systematically remove doubt from Christians who would try to question Paul's position in Christendom. It is Paul of tarsus who writes the letters of Paul.

Many scholars now call Christianity, **Pauline Christianity**, because most of the New Testament is Paul's own words. **Paul was simply a disciple of a disciple, he never met Jesus'.**

Did Jesus complete his message or did he need Paul to finish his Job?

17. Luke was Paul's personal physician, and he was also intensely inspired by Paul

So what ever happened to Barnabus ?

The position of Barnabas is clearly very important in the early stages of Christian growth. The last super was held at his sister's house and he was also the uncle of mark .Christ simply loved his apostles. **Barnabas wrote a gospel of his own called the Gospel of Barnabas. (the gospel of barnabus was a gospel stolen out of the Vatican from pope sixtus (1585-90) by Fra marino and later given to the world to see, it rests in the hofbibliothek in Vienna)**

The followers' of Barnabas, Peter and James were Jews that converted to Christianity. They followed the laws of

Moses as Christ commanded; they called themselves the **Ebionites, in Hebrew (evyonim) meaning “poor men”**. This is the earliest Christian group ever spoken off by all gentile church fathers; thanks to there enemies we find recorded writings about the Ebionites in history. **The Church now claims this Gospel as a forgery. The epistle of Barnabas can be traced back as early as 130ac because it is extensively quoted by church father Iranaeus. There is also a gospel of the Ebionites** [18]. A different church came up by Paul and a different gospel. Surprisingly these gospels are totally different. The gentile or Pauline church fathers called all other gospel books heretic. Now the disciple Mark has his own gospel which was found in the Nag Hamadi collection, again Mark’s gospel differs entirely to the two books of the New Testament. Discovered by the church fathers and kept **hidden are the secret gospel of mark and the apocalypse of Peter** [19]

"No sooner had Jesus knocked over the dragon of superstition, than Paul boldly set it on its legs again in the name of Jesus”
George Bernard Shaw

18/19. (Nag Hamadi scriptures)

The trees Paul planted

Early in Christianity a seed is planted, it later produced disputes between church fathers. The church fathers who sided with the Roman Empire were guilty of Crucifixions, murders, executions and live burnings. It

became common practice crushing freedom of speech and destroying the manuscripts that disagreed with them.

We ask; if the Jews were such great protectors of the Old Testament, than why is it that we don't have the original Hebrew New Testament preserved by the disciples of Jesus? This is evidence to prove that scriptures were destroyed.

Paul's focus on Jesus life is purely based on Jesus death and resurrection. As far as Paul is concerned Jesus early life meant nothing much really, this is why the disciples always had a problem with Paul. Paul systematically made almost all of Jesus disciples into sinners. **A critical study** of Paul's knowledge once again shows how little he really knew of Judaism. [20]

Paul was born in a prosperous gentile urban center which served as a capital of a Roman province. He was really never acquainted with the true life of Galilee. He comes into Judea very late and never really gets time to learn anything from Jesus or Jesus disciples.

It is history that shapes our Understanding. For it is said: "that to reason without history is as though we *still consider the earth flat".*

---

20. The myth of the cross by A.D ADIJOLA (Nigerian revert to islam

Only Paul makes Jesus a partner to god!

In Fact Paul was no disciple but rather a self confessed convert. Strangely the Catholics as well as Protestant church fathers have deceived us knowingly. Ironically we even see that the New Testament and Old Testaments were also influenced in the Greek translations**.**

Ask yourself, why hide this part of our historical truths from us.

Maybe it will make us independent to priests and make us talk directly to god! Or rather the criminal mind, they hijacking our minds and cashing up eating away our pockets like rats in cheese.

Why the formula of a book (bible) that confuses us and a god (Jesus) that we not sure off, Churches today stand in the thousands disunited and split over who God really is and which gospels are gods word ? And we know this is a fact…..

Thanks to the Dead Sea scrolls we can prove that there is no mention of a prophecy to do with a crucified Jesus in the Old Testament [21]

---

21. Dead sea scrolls are a number of Old Testament scrolls that were discovered in Egypt after 2000 years and persevered by the esene Jews. It is speculated that they lived in the Dead Sea area due to severe persecution and fear.

The contradictions of paul

Life mysteriously unveils how dynamic minds always quote there beloved. The disciples' of Jesus do exactly that. His examples, lifestyle miracles and advice could never be more clear than from them, however the one and only self professed apostle Paul (the true founder of the new covenant in Christianity) never ever bothers to quote Jesus ever besides for two occasions. And on both times he simply contradicts Jesus with his own opinion. Paul fails to teach us about Jesus; 1 Corinthians 7:10 Jesus says no to divorce and Paul allows it. 1 Corinthians 9:14 Jesus allows a salary and Paul again cuts it off clearly Contradicting Mathew 10:10 and Luke 10:7

Why silence Jesus?

What we see is that Paul doesn't use Jesus life and pre-passion mission as a form of guidance to the truth. We realize that this can only be because Paul was poorly informed of Jesus life. We don't have to be rocket scientists. It's so logical to see that Paul knew nothing much of Jesus personality and true teachings. If he did know then Paul would have quoted Jesus with passion. The fact is he does not! Today learned Christian men hopelessly follow Paul and never quote Jesus the way they should.

Paul uncovered and crucified

Carl Sagan (Scientist; Author)

"My long-time view about Christianity is that it represents an amalgam of two seemingly immiscible parts--the religion of Jesus and the religion of Paul. Thomas Jefferson attempted to excise the Pauline parts of the New Testament. There wasn't much left when he was done, but it was an inspiring document."[22]

---

22. (Letter to Ken Schei [author of Christianity Betrayed and An Atheists for Jesus)

Thomas Jefferson

"Paul was the first corrupter of the doctrines of Jesus."

Albert Schweitzer

"Where possible Paul avoids quoting the teaching of Jesus. In fact even mentioning it. If we had to rely on Paul, we should not know that Jesus taught in parables, had delivered the Sermon on the Mount, and had taught His disciples the 'Our Father.' Even where they are especially relevant, Paul passes over the words of the Lord."[23]

Walter Kaufmann (Professor of Philosophy, Princeton)

"Paul substituted faith in Christ for the Christlike life."

Thomas Hardy

"The New Testament was less a Christiad than a Pauliad."

Hyam Maccoby (Talmudic Scholar)

"As we have seen, the purpose of the book of Acts is to minimize the conflict between Paul and the leaders of the Jerusalem Church, James and Peter. Peter and Paul, in later Christian tradition, became twin saints, brothers in faith, and the idea that they were historically bitter opponents standing for irreconcilable religious standpoints would have been repudiated with horror. The work of the author of Acts was well done; he rescued Christianity from the imputation of being the individual creation of Paul, and instead gave it a respectable pedigree, as a doctrine with the authority of the so-called Jerusalem Church, conceived as continuous in spirit with the Pauline Gentile Church of Rome. Yet, for all his efforts, the truth of the matter is not hard to recover, if we examine the New Testament evidence with an eye to tell-tale inconsistencies and confusions, rather than with the determination to gloss over and harmonize all difficulties in the interests of an orthodox interpretation."[24]

---

23. (All references not listed here, can be found in Christianity Betrayed)

24. (The Mythmaker, p. 139, Weidenfeld and Nicolson, London, 1986)

Wil Durant (Philospher)

"Paul created a theology of which none but the vaguest warrants can be found in the words of Christ."

"Fundamentalism is the triumph of Paul over Christ."

Jeremy Bentham (English Philosopher)

"If Christianity needed an Anti-Christ, they needed look no farther than Paul." (Paraphrased Looking for a copy of "Not Paul, but Jesus" in order to retrieve the exact quote.)

Carl Jung (Psychologist)

"Paul hardly ever allows the real Jesus of Nazareth to get a word in."[25]

Bishop John S. Spong (Episcopal Bishop of Newark)

"Paul's words are not the Words of God. They are the words of Paul- a vast difference." [26]

Historical facts and the bakopta revolt 70 AC

The most silent part of Christian history is between the times 1 ac to 300 ac. going through this part of history can only be done with absolute proof; we must base our judgment on this with out being selfish to our selves. The Bakopta revolt led to the total annihilation of Christ's followers. The Romans showed no mercy and practically wiped out every Jew that moved. The true followers of Jesus came under sever attack and so did he's teachings. It was within this time that the hijacking of Christianity may have begun. There were men like Simon margus who also tried to challenge Jesus with miracles. We read about this in the book of acts were peter confronts him. Lot can be understood in relation to the origins of Christianity if one had to try and see what the main reasons were that the gentile church took over leaving the Jerusalem church in isolation.

---

25. (U.S. News and World Report, April 22, 1991, p. 55)3.

26. (Rescuing the Bible from Fundamentalism, p. 104, Harper San Francisco, 1991)

So who was Timothy and Titus?

Legend has it, that in the land of the blind the one eyed is king. The last of Paul's letters were dated around AC

63-67.apparently converted under the preaching of Paul on his first mission to Galatia is timothy. Acts 16:1 Paul refers to timothy “as My dearly beloved son” and “my own son” [25] What we have again is that one eyed Paul leads timothy and influences the Word again.

The same applies to Titus being converted by paul resulting in a situation were Paul uses him as a tool to strengthen the idea of a gentile church. Paul uses Titus very often to solve problems and once again the so called new covenant is in motion. **Timothy, Titus and Paul never ever met Jesus. There doctrines contradict the original church of Christ.**

John was a good writer. I think?

Evidence is all I ever demanded and I got it. You see the church was in shambles and john gave us the proofs. As early as 90 Ac the problem arose. The Gnostics or thinkers we can call them questioned Jesus divinity. They stood firm and said no! God is not and can never be Flesh! In Johns ambitious words he makes Jesus a begotten son and gives Jesus divinity and oneness with God.

We learn that the motive of Johns writing is purely to create a spiritual Jesus and this is proof to Show that his challenging the so called Christian Gnostics was evidence that the church had split as early as AC 90 [26]

---

25. Exploring the bible by R.laird harris. Samual j.schultz.Gary v.Smith.WalterM.Dunnett.)

26. (exploring the bible by R.laird harris. Samual j.schultz.Gary v.Smith.WalterM.Dunnett.)

Dispute in the empire and the council of Nicaea 325 AC

Christianity had grown in 300 years; it had more internal turmoil then external. The gentile converts were doing whatever they thought correct because the bible was not in a Book form yet. Christian disputes were on the rise and it was within the interest of Rome that religion should create stability.

With this in mind the pagan king Constantine needed to have peace in his kingdom. The dictator sent messengers to Egypt, Syria and the troubled areas in the empire. It was clear that action was the only practical solution for stabile governance.

Hundreds of bishops, saints and scribes arrived. Within there hearts and within there hands parchments and manuscripts numbering hundreds. They stayed in the great palace, and discussed The Parchments and the works of old.

An eruption! The tempers burned through, St Arius refuses to bow to the new creed neither does St Eusubius as well as the eastern bishops. At last King Constantine sees the problem. The King asks ‘’so what do you disagree about’’. And out it comes.

We say ‘’there are three gods! **The father, the son, and the Holy Spirit**! And these three are one united”. “***Oh no! It can never be***” Cried Arius and he’s party of bishops. “***God is only one and Jesus is only a mortal like us for god created him and we don’t worship creation***”. At these statements came the quake of

utterances.

Most certainly as Christians we wonder in amazement, did this really happen? Could the nature of Jesus have been questioned? Was he a mortal like us or was he really god. Did the church fathers really fight about this? Amazing.

The empire strikes back

In 325 AD a council was held at Nicaea, Here the doctrine of the Trinity was rammed through by Athanasius (using Mafia tactics). The Council was overseen by the Emperor Constantine who, ironically enough thought of himself as God-incarnate. (Constantine was a Sun Worshiper and only made an official conversion to "Christianity" on his deathbed).

T**he Sabbath was changed to Sunday so as not to clash with the sun worshipers on sun day.** Roman coins of the period still portrayed the image of the sun God despite his alleged and sudden adoption of Christianity.[()]

Many of those present at the Council of Nicaea were opposed to the doctrine of the Trinity, and sided with Arius. The trinity was developed by converted gentiles and by Pauls negligence of the old covenant. Even after the Nicene Creed, the Trinity was still hotly debated for decades and centuries after.

Religion is the opium of a nation, for within this drug shall we control, thus they we say! “Christ is God! God is Christ” .

. Western Europe from the decline of Rome to the reformation

So exactly how many bishops came to Nicea

Different writers give different numbers for the Council of Nicea held from May 20, 325 to July 25 325 A.D.

318 *Hilary of Poitiers On the Councils ch.86 p.27*
318 *Epiphanius of Salamis*[26]
318 *The Letter of Liberius Bishop of Rome, to the Bishops of the Macedonians* [27]
300 *Constantine in his letter says 300 (28)*
300 *Athanasius*
318 *Athanasius in his letter Ad Afros*
270 *Eustathius of Antioch*
300 *Gelasius of Cyzicus*
250 *Eusebius more than 2000 Arabic manuscripts of Eusebius* ***318*** *Creeds of Christendom volume 1* [29]

We can figure out at least 232-237.The figure of 318

given from Hilary of Poitier and is the traditional one. Other numbers are Eusebius 250, Eustathius of Antioch 270., Athanasius about 300, Gelasius of Cyzicus at more than 300.

The 300 bishops were so deep into this discussion that some had to be murdered to be silenced

---

----------26. vol.2, p.331-2: book II, Heresy 69, chapter 11
27. Socrates Scholasticus Church History book 4 ch.12 p.102
28. Socrates Scholasticus Church History book 1 ch.9 p.14
29. History of the Creeds by Philip Schaff p.25

The birth and rise of three Gods

Athanasius writes: Now those who became apostates, heretics (rejecting trinity) are these**, Arius ,Achilles, Aeithales, Carpones, another Arius and Sarmates, Lucius, Julius, Menas, Helladius, and Gaius, some Deacons: and with them Secundus and Theonas,** some **Bishops**. And the novelties they have invented and put forth contrary to the Scriptures are these following: ***Christ was not always a Father, for there was a time when Christ was not a Father.*** [30]

In 325 AD : Constantine convenes the Council of Nicaea in order to develop a statement of faith that can unify the church and hopefully his empire.

The Nicene Creed is written, declaring that "the Father and the Son are of the same substance" (homoousios).

"Constantine himself presided, actively guiding the discussions and personally proposed the crucial formula expressing the relationship of Christ to God in the creed issued by the council of one substance with the Father" (31)

Although this was not Constantine's first attempt to reconcile factions in Christianity, it was the first time he had used the imperial office to IMPOSE settlements."(32)

Did you know: that the word trinity does not exist anywhere in the bible! In fact even if we combined a number of verses to prove it, it is not possible to show clearly that god is three in one. This confusing picture of god is somewhat hard to perceive unless if you were forced to swallow it with blind faith and no proof- **swallow, swallow, swallow, swallow, swallow, swallow**

---

30. (Letter of Athanasius catholic encyclopedia)
31. *(Encyclopedia Britannica*)
32. (The American Academic Encyclopedia)

Eusebius of Nicomedia 341 AD

A bishop of Berytus (modern-day Beirut) in Phoenicia, then of Nicomedia where the imperial court resided in Bithynia, and patriarch of Constantinople from 338 up to his death. Distantly related to the imperial family of Constantine, the great power he wielded in the Church was derived from that source. In fact, during his time in the Imperial court, the Eastern court and the major positions in the Eastern Church were held by Arians or

Arian sympathizers. He enjoyed the complete confidence both of Constantine and Constantius II and it was Eusebius who baptized Constantine the Great in May, 337.

Influence in the Imperial family

During his time in the Imperial court, Arianism became more popular with the Royal family. It can be logically surmised that Eusebius had a huge hand in the acceptance of Arianism in the Constantinian household. The Arian influence grew so strong during his tenure in the Imperial court that it wasn't until the end of the Constantinian dynasty and the appointment of Theodosius I that Arianism lost its influence in the Empire.

It is of particular interest that Eusebius was nearly persecuted because of his relationship, he was the first person whom Arius contacted after the latter was excommunicated from Alexandria. Apparently, Eusebius was powerful enough that Arius was able to put his theology down in writing. At the First Council of Nicaea, 325, His defense of Arius angered the emperor, and a few months after the council he was sent into exile due to his continual contacts with Arius and the exiles. Within three years, he succeeded in regaining imperial favor by convincing Constantine that Arius and his views do not conflict with the Nicene Creed.

After his return in 329 he brought the whole of the state government into action in order to impose his views upon the Church. He was able to dislodge and exile three key Arian opponents who espoused the First Council of Nicaea: Eustathius of Antioch in 330, Athanasius of Alexandria in 335 and Marcellus of Ancyra in 336. This was no small feat since Athanasius was regarded as a "man of God" by Constantine and both Eustathius and Athanasius held top positions in

the church. Another major feat was his appointment as the Patriarch of Constantinople by expelling Paul I of Constantinople; Paul would eventually return as Patriarch after Eusebius' death. Even outside the empire, Eusebius had great influence.

He brought Ulfilas into the Arian priesthood and sent the latter to convert the heathen Goths. Eusebius baptised Constantine the Great in his villa in Nicomedia, on May 22, 337 just before the death of the Emperor. He died at the height of his power in the year 342. He was so influential that even after his death; Constantius II heeded his and Eudoxus of Constantinople's advice to attempt to convert the Roman Empire to Arianism by creating Arian Councils and official Arian Doctrines. It was because of Eusebius that "On the whole, Constantine and his successors made life pretty miserable for Church leaders committed to the Nicene decision and its Trinitarian formula.

Unfortunately for him, his dream of having Arianism accepted by the masses never came to pass, since Arianism did not penetrate beyond the elite in society. Eusebius of Nicomedia is not to be confused with his contemporary Eusebius of Caesarea, the author of a well-known early book of Church History.

The pathetic seventh day Adventist, Jehovah witnesses and new born churches use the whole Nicene saga as a tool to win Christian converts claiming this to be a bunch of prophecy’s from the book of

Daniel. The truth is they have a way of influence where they change the bible words to fit their beliefs

Whose who in the zoo?

At the end of the council of Nicea, Constantine sided with Athanasius (follower of the trinity) over Arius (the follower of one god) and exiled Arius to Illyria

328 AD - Athanasius becomes the bishop of Alexandria**. (Trinity in)** **328 AD** - Constantine recalls ***Arius*** from Illyria**. (Trinity out)** **335 AD -** Constantine sides with ***Arius*** and exiles **Athanasius** to Trier.(Trinity out)
337 AD - A new emperor, Contantius, orders the return of **Athanasius** to Alexandria. (Trinity in)
341 AD - Two councils are held in Antioch this year. During this council, the First, Second, and Third ***Arian*** Confessions are written, thereby beginning the attempt to produce a formal doctrine of faith to oppose the Nicene Creed. (trinity out)
343 AD - At the Council of Sardica, Eastern Bishops demand the removal of **Athanasius**.(trinity out)
346 AD - Athanasius is restored to Alexandria. (Trinity returns) **351 AD** - A second anti - Nicene council is held in Sirmium. (trinity questioned)
355/6 AD - A council is held in Milan. **Athanasius** is again condemned. **Athanasius** is deposed on February 8th, beginning his third exile. (Trinity exiled)
357 AD - Third Council of Sirmium is convened. Both

homoousios and homoiousios are avoided as unbiblical, and it is agreed that **the Father is greater than His subordinate Son**.
359 AD - The Synod of Seleucia is held which affirms **that Christ is "like the Father," It does not specify how the Son is like the Father.**
361 AD - A council is held in Antioch to affirm ***Arius'*** positions. **(Trinity is again rejected)**
380 AD - **Emperor Theodosius** declares Christianity the official state religion of the empire. (Trinity reborn)
381 AD - The First Council of Constantinople is held to review the controversy since Nicaea. **Emperor Theodosius now establishes the old creed of Nicaea as the standard for his realm**. The Nicene Creed is re-evaluated and accepted with the addition of clauses on **the Holy Spirit and other matters. (The trinity becomes official and stays)**

What went wrong ?

1 if the Church fathers were *100%* satisfied *at the council of Nicea concerning the teachings of the church,* ***then why was there all the conflict?***
2*. If the trinity was the established teaching of the church, then you would expect people to accept it,* ***but many Christians did not****.*

3*. the trinity and more that came out of Nicaea was not the established teaching of the early church, So when this new church tried to make it official,* ***the result was major conflict.***

The emperors way or the highway

A major complication throughout all this was that the Roman emperors were involved; they directed the outcome every time. At Nicaea it was Constantine that

decided the outcome.

He later changed his mind with the result that Athanasius is exiled and later recalled by Theodosius 1 thus the creed was changed depending on who is in power.

In 357 AC *- the declaration that homoousios and homoiousios are unbiblical, and that the Father is greater than His created Son.* ***This is 180 degrees from Nicaea.***

In 380 AC *- Emperor Theodosius1 declares Christianity the state religion. One can come to the conclusion that whichever way Theodosius favors, that is the way in which it is going to end.* ***This is exactly what happened next.***

In 381 AC - *the struggle was finally ended by the current emperor, Theodosius the Great, who favored the Nicene position. Just like at Nicaea, the EMPEROR again decided it.* ***The emperors were dictating the theology of the church.*** *The big difference now was that there was not going to be any more changing sides. It was now the state religion. You cannot make Christianity the state religion and then change its beliefs every few years. It would undermine its credibility as the true faith.*

The Trinity was now the orthodox position, and the state was willing to back it up with force.

Don't you dare question our authority!

For the most part, the church of three gods had silenced critical thought and dealt treacherously with anyone of open mind and free thought. In the 1670's, Isaac Newton quietly studied the Trinity and came to the conclusion

*that the doctrine was foisted on the Church by Athanasius in order to swell the numbers and fill the coffers.Newton concluded that **Arius** who believed that God was not man or son of god was right and he claimed that the Bible had prophesied the Rise of Three gods in one ("this strange religion of the west", the cult of 3 equal gods as the abomination of desolation.*

***After Newton ,many other scholars of the bible such as Matthew Tindal, John Toland, Gottfried Arnold, Goerg Walch, Giovanni also agreed**.*[(33)]

This led to a Higher Criticism of the bible,In the 19th century which in turn, paved the way for evolutionism and Nietzsche's death of God. Albrecht Ritschl (1822-89) saw the Trinity doctrine as flagrantly Hellenistic. It had corrupted the Christian message by introducing an alien science in faith and it had nothing to do with early Christianity

"It is a layer of metaphysical concepts, derived from the natural philosophy of the Greeks"

---

33. (*The Rise of Science and Decline of Orthodox Christianity A study of Kepler, Descartes and Newton)*

Mithraism = Christianity

A pagan religion that was part of the ancient Indo-Iranian Sun god (Mithras). It entered Europe from Asia Minor after Alexander the great's conquest. It spread rapidly over the whole Roman Empire long before the beginning of the Christian era; this religion reached its zenith 300 years after Jesus. Suddenly it vanished under Theodosius1 at the end of the fourth century. **It similarities are shocking when compared to**

Hinduism, Buddhism and Christianity. The researches of Cumont have brought it into prominence mainly because of its similarity to Christianity .A similarity between Mithra's and Christ struck even early observers, such as church Fathers St Justin, ST Tertullian, and others. **In recent times it has been urged to prove that Christianity is not an adaptation of Mithraism, or at most the outcome of the same religious ideas and aspirations** [34]

In trying to defend Christianity the Christian sources say, "much is not known about Mithraism". they conclude saying "our knowledge about Mithraism is very imperfect because we **have access to some 600 brief inscriptions, some 300 fragments, exiguous, a few references in the Fathers** or Acts of the Martyrs, and a brief polemic against Mithraism which the **Armenian** Eznig probably copied from **Theodore of Mopsuestia** about 450 ac. These are our only sources, unless we include the Avesta in which Mithra is indeed mentioned.

Our knowledge is mostly ingenious guess-work of the real Mithraism and the sense in which it was understood, **by those who professed it at the advent of Christianity we know nothing"** What we have is a clear contradiction, the Churches try to defend Christian creeds and claim to know nothing about this Greek/Roman religion**, yet they still admit that there church fathers were seriously influenced by Greek mysticism and Greek philosophy (Mithraism)**ST Justin and St clement of Alexandra are amongst the greatest founding fathers of Christendom. They **admit to being enlightened by there <u>own knowledge</u>** following the works of Greek philosophy[35]

----------34. (Robertson, "Pagan Christ's", 1903)
35. (Mithraism: Defending Christianity catholic encyclopedia)

<u>Church father St Justin</u>

“the names of the father and grandfather of Justin suggest a **pagan** origin, and he speaks of himself as **uncircumcised** (Dialogue, xxviii). The date of his birth is uncertain, but would seem to fall in the first years of the second century. He received a good **education** in Greek **philosophy** an account of which he gives us at the beginning of his "Dialogue with the Jew Tryphon [36] **(He was more Mithraic Greek than Christian)**

Again we see the church fathers as Greek theologians who later convert. History has proven how tradition had an influence on religion. Christianity has really not given us anything new other than making man turn once again to mediators such as saints, spirits, prophets or “sons of god”

Church father St Clement of Alexandria

St. Clement was an early Greek theologian and head of the catechetical school of Alexandria. Athens is given as the starting-point of his journeying, and was probably his birthplace. He became a convert to the Faith and travelled from place to place in search of higher instruction [37]

St Augustine of hippo

His Philosophy and writings, for the most part composed in the villa of Cassisiacum, from his conversion to his baptism (388-397),the autobiography of the saint clearly initiating us into **the researches and Platonic** hesitations of his mind. There is less freedom in them than in the Confessions[38]

It is a known fact!!! That both Catholicism and Protestantism come from a pagan source, making it impossible to clean up.

---
36. (The *catholic encyclopedia St Justin)*
37. (C*atholic encyclopedia clement of Alexandria)* .
38 (*The catholic encyclopedia St Augustine of hippo)*

What is Mithraism?

IT began 1500 years before the coming of Christ and then vanished 300 years after Christ under the emperor theodosius1The church claims, we know nothing! What about the Greeks and Romans? **How could a Greek religion 1500 years old just vanish?** Do you honestly think the Greeks never fought tooth and nail to preserve it? The Greeks were the holders of knowledge, they wrote and created the new testament during these changing times, **it makes us wonder why the Greek church fathers were confused and questioned there own understanding of Jesus.**

It makes one think why did Constantine make it clear that the trinity was the new creed. **That Christmas must be celebrated on the same day of Mithra's birth, 25 December**

Even stranger is the **death of Jesus.it is on Mithra's death**, the date was kept during the spring equinox (Easter).Jesus rises from the dead as Mithras did three days later. Jesus ascends into heaven and again so does Mithra.

Mithras was killed under a tree by a wild pig thus the tree was a symbolic tree placed in the house (Christmas tree) as the cross is in Christianity. Mithras was born of virgin birth through the heavenly spirit; **he was worshiped as god and came in the form of man, he was called son of god on earth.** A roasted pig was the meal to be eaten at the feast, even though it opposed **the teachings of the law of mosses, don't eat pork!** Thus the pork issue simply

dissolved away with this **new covenant ideology**.

(Leviticus chapter 11 verse 7) and (Deuteronomy 14 verse 8) And the swine, because it divided the hoof, yet cheweth not the cud, it is unclean unto you: ye shall not eat of their flesh, nor touch their dead carcase. The word Easter comes from Mithras mother Esthore (Easter).

Historically proven Theodosius 1 banned Mithraism **and fused both religions forcibly converting Temples into churches**. Middle Eastern Christians who disagreed were killed or converted. The controversy however continued. (The Edict of Theodosius)

Striking similarities that will shock u!!

Christianity	Hinduism	Buddhism	Mithraism	Islam
Jesus was born with the star in the east	**Krishna was born with the star in the east**	**Buddha was born with the star in the east**	**Mithras was born with the star in the east**	**Mohamed had no signs of any kind**
Going to the father is only done through the spirit and Christ	**Going to nirvana is through the spirit of brahma, Shiva and lakshmi, three gods in**	**To attain closeness to god u must go thru the spirit of Buddha**	**The spirit of mithras takes u to God**	**Islam says u go directly to god without any form of a spiritual man or**

	one substance			**being**
Jesus is the son of God	**Krishna is the son of god**	**Buddha is the holy son of god**	**Mithras is the holy son of god**	**Mohamed is an ordinary man**
Salvation is through Christ death and suffering	**Salvation is thru Shiva's death and suffering**	**Salvation is through Buddha suffering on earth for mankind**	**Salvation is through Mithras death under a tree**	**Salvation is in God almighty's mercy upon those who recognize and ask him directly**
Jesus is a re incarnation of god	**Krishna is a reincarnation of god**	**Buddha is a reincarnation of god**	**Mithras is a re incarnation of god**	**God does not reincarnate himself to forgive.**
Jesus is taken up into heaven and sits on gods right hand side watching over his enemies	**Krishna is taken up into heaven watching the followers below**	**Buddha is taken up into heaven and is on Gods side monitoring his people**	**Mithras is taken up into heaven and is on his fathers side**	**Mohamed is not god or part of god**

Idols and images are made depicting his imagery of suffering on earth	**Idols and many deities are made as symbols of gods image on earth**	**Idols of Buddha are symbols of worship in temples**	**The Taurus bull and Mithras death are the fine imagery of his suffering**	**No images**

Jesus was born of virgin birth	**Krishna was born of virgin birth**	**Buddha was born of virgin birth**	**Mithras was born of virgin birth**	**Mohamed was of natural birth**
Christianity is 2010 years old	**Hinduism is 3500 years old**	**Buddhism is 3000 years old**	**Mithraism 2500 years old**	**Islam is 1400 years old**

We must Brainwash em!

The reasons Rome had to totally annihilate this ancient Persian/ Asian religion was purely based on the influence the enemies of Rome posed. People in Rome had taken the religion of the Persians and created this New Greek platonic/Mithraism religion. The Romans had to change this.

Rome needed a different idea of god so… **the apple never falls far from the tree…** The similarities I found in the four religions made me wonder in astonishment. **Christianity, Hinduism, Buddhism and Mithraism** They come from the same seed of **polytheism**. Three gods worshiped in one. We know of **Mother Earth or Mother Nature in Greek philosophy, now we meet our Father in heaven,** his son (Mithra's), and A natural

Holy Spirit to experience it all.

Similarly the ancient Egyptians worshipped Horus and Osiris the virgin goddess and more. I guess at this point much would be coming clear. The Roman gods were also t**hree in one**; Hinduism gods Ram, Krishna and Shiva etc **are 3 in one**. In Buddhism you can only receive higher enlightenment through the spirit of a teacher, so through the holy spirit of Buddha will u see God. **All have striking similarities to modern Christianity.**

All Four Buddha, Mithras, krishna and Jesus were holy boys born of virgin birth; they were called spirits of god and they are worshiped till today. **Christianity today is simply an old wolf in sheep clothing.** The new creed also needed to fit side by side into the teachings of the Greeks and annihilate Iranian influence.

The emperors made sure these religions formulate a twin relationship in the empire. Any opposition to his decree was a direct threat to his empire. Evidence to show that ancient religions have these foundations and beliefs can still be found till today. In fact the way Krishna, Buddha and Mithras ascended into heaven is typically as described by how Jesus ascended. All being reincarnated and coming in the form of man.

CHRIST is GOD! GOD is CHRIST

The hunt and persecution of many church fathers had begun after this council 300 years after jesus... History echoes the disagreements people had with this ideology, that Jesus was god. Jesus was to the first Christians not God but a representation of the perfect messenger of GOD.

To state that Christ was **not** god, was political, as well as confronting at the time, it was asking for trouble with the new roman Christianity. The early gospels called apocrypha are evidence that later day church fathers made Jesus into a god in-carnation and that they canonized there own Gospels. No disciple of Jesus ever

called Jesus god or worshiped him.

Judaism

The Hebrew Bible is divided into three parts.

1) the Torah or the Law.

2) Nevi'im or the Prophets.

3) Ketuvim or the Writings .

Sometimes the whole Hebrew Bible is called the Tanakh, which is an acronym of Torah. In the time of Christianity, the third portion, the Writings, were still in the process of becoming canonical (Part of the book), It was not complete.

1) The Law

The Law contains the five books of Moses. This is the earliest part that was recognized as Holy Scripture.

2) The Prophets

The Prophets include what we think of as the historical books, the twelve Minor Prophets, and the Major Prophets, except Daniel. That is: Joshua, , Judges, Samuel, Kings, Isaiah, Jeremiah, Ezekiel, Hosea, Joel, Amos, Obadiah, Jonah, Micah, Nahum, Habakkuk, Zephaniah, Haggai, Zechariah, and Malachi.

3) The Writings or the Ketuvim

The Writings contain all the other books, which are: Psalms, Proverbs, Job, Song of Songs, Ruth, Lamentations, Ecclesiastes, Esther, Daniel, Ezra-Nehemiah, and Chronicles. In general, they are in the order of writing.

The Greek old testament (book1)

Greek Old Testament : 300 BC, the Jews in Alexandria, Egypt, undertook a translation of the Old Testament (torah) into Greek. About 70 translators worked on it;**it is called the Septuagint, from the Latin word for "seventy."** The Septuagint translators gave the books of the Bible Greek names and put them in a different order, categorizing them as Law, history, writings, and prophecy. The modern **Jewish Bible (book2)** is an ancient **Hebrew Bible**. **But the Christian Old Greek Testament (book 1) is not an identical copy.**

The Jerusalem old testament (book 2)

The Temple in Jerusalem used the older Hebrew scrolls for translations. Translators consult it today for places where it isn't clear which vowels should go between the consonants in the Hebrew text. **The Hebrew Bible** was called the Palestinian canon. And the **Greek Bible** was called the Alexandrian canon.

Now when comparing the **Greek bible** to **the Hebrew** bible, **you would see that there were more books in the original Hebrew bible. Because** Christians were so adept at using the Septuagint (Greek bible) to make converts.The Jewish rabbis decided very early in the Christian era that synagogues would only use Hebrew scrolls. Jews have not used the Septuagint for 2,000 years, even though it was a Jewish Greek translation of the Bible.

The books missing in the Alexandrian canon that do not appear in the Palestinian canon are now called the "Apocrypha" or the "deuterocanonical books."

So far we have been talking about two

Jewish Bibles that differed in content and structure with edited scripts. Two collections formed over 800 years by scribes, Remember: from only one god! With one message!

So how did the Jews influence the Old Testament?

The exact contents of the Writings portion of the Hebrew Bible hadn't been completed even by the time of Jesus and of the New Testament. What needs to be known is that the Old Testament has no historical or **physical proof to prove that it is the exclusive word of god alone**. It was a combination of different writers who coincidently took about 1000 years to make it what it is today.

Professor Richard Elliot Friedman from the University of California, San Diego has a Doctorate in the Hebrew Bible at Harvard University. He is one of many scholars who have attempted to critically study the "**source"** documents of the "five books of Moses" in order to arrive at the **identity of the authors**, and the time period when each source was written, the motives for writing each narrative, and other information.

In his book "Who Wrote the Bible," Prof. Friedman presents strong evidence that the five books of mosses had many authors and each "**source document"** was written by a person or persons who while on the face of it seem to narrate the same stories, but in reality they had

distinctly different goals they wished to achieve. Each **source** emphasizes a certain branch of the Jews, their nobility, birth right, and closeness to God.

Astonishing evidence is found proving that At times at the price of Jewish tribes; one tribe is chosen over the other. For instance, in the J source the name for god is yhwh and this source was written by the descendants of Judah then in the E source God is called elohim and this was written by the descendants of Israel, Another source called a P source was written by priests from the descendants of Aaron..

The P (Priestly) source seems to be particularly interested in priests, their lineage, their being the only ones who are allowed to sacrifice to God, and there importance to God, a surprising absence is noted, that stories are missing as in the sacrifice of the sons of Adam from this narrative. It also contains stories of how all those who attempted to make sacrifices to God without the agency of an Aaronid priest were killed by God. There is a d source too in relation to Deuteronomy

Once mankind began to study the Old Testament in detail, comparing the various passages which referred to the same topic in order to obtain as much detail as possible, **they began to notice conflicting accounts of many matters as well as other problems.** For instance, in the eleventh century, it was noticed that the list of **Edomite kings in Genesis 36, names kings who lived long after Moses was dead.**

If Moses wrote Genesis than how could he have possibly mentioned

kings that died after him speaking in past tense?

Many scholars have concern about the hundreds of contradictions of which I mention a few. Scholars began to notice such statements as **"to this day"** (Deuteronomy 34 :6) this implies that the author was looking back at these matters through history and has seen what they have endured. After this, it was noticed that in the beginning verses of the Old Testament manuscripts, **Deuteronomy 1:1** it says: "These are the words that Moses spoke to the children of Israel across the Jordan..."

They noticed that the word "**across the Jordan"** refers to people who are on the opposite side of the Jordan River to the author. But the alleged Author, Moses himself, was never supposed to have been in Israel in His life.

It was also noticed that Moses speaks in detail about how he died and where he was buried. This is very unusual that an author writes in past tense about how were and when he died. Deuteronomy 34: 5-10 "So Moses the servant of the LORD died there in the land of Moab..."
Moses also calls himself the most humble man on earth in **Numbers 12:3** (would the most humble man on earth call himself the most humble man on Earth?) **In Deuteronomy 34:10** we read "And there arose not a Prophet since in Israel like unto Moses".

This also implies that the Author was looking back at Moses through history a long time after Moses death. Now the flood gates were opened and countless other Discrepancies began to show up. My argument simply stands: 1000 years of history in a book and then we have the bible... it just doesn't make any sense that this can be 100% gods word.

"Second-rate plagiarists later formed scriptures out of someone's scripts".
(Harold Bloom)

Old Testament porn

Ezekiel 23:3 And they committed whoredoms (sex) in Egypt; they committed whoredoms (sex) in their youth: there were their breasts pressed, and there they bruised the teats of their virginity.
Ezekiel 23:8 Neither left she her whoredoms(sex) brought from Egypt: for in her youth they lay with her, and they bruised the breasts of her virginity, and poured their whoredom(semen) upon her.
Ezekiel 23:19 Yet she multiplied her whoredoms(sex), in calling to remembrance the days of her youth, wherein she had played the harlot (sex worker) in the land of Egypt.
Ezekiel 23:20 For she doted upon their paramours, whose flesh (penis) is as the flesh of asses, and whose issue (semen) is like the issue of horses.

Read all of this in Ezekiel and u will most definitely ask, Is God Almighty the true writer of such words?

So Am I crazy or is it just my imagination?

Jews that spoke Greek and the ancient church used the Septuagint as authoritative Scripture. When you compare

a New Testament quotation against the Old Testament, you notice that they don't match. That is because the original Old Testament was translated out of Hebrew, but the New Testament writers quote from the Greek translation called the Septuagint.

Your modern day English translation of the Old Testament has been translated from a faulty Greek translation.

The books that were translated from Hebrew to Greek can not fit on a single scroll because Hebrew is written without vowels. Since Greek requires more words than Hebrew to say the same thing, the translation takes up more space than the original. E.g. Hebrew: "muhamedim" would translate to in English "he is altogether lovely". More words simply meant a papyrus nightmare.

The most important point made is if a book claims to be from god then can the book defend itself from being changed?

I find it totally unacceptable that god should send a book to guide us and in the same breath confuse us. Why is God's word not able to preserve itself from the hands of scribes? Be it the holy bible or the torah. We find proof that men tempered with it.

.Read is the bible god's word? By Sheikh Ahmed Deedat

Did you know! There are Lost Books of the Torah

There are books mentioned in the Bible, books now lost and not found in the bible .they are missing*…jewish politics!*

The Book of the Covenant

Exodus 24:7 and *he took the book of the covenant, and read in the audience of the people: and they said, all that the Lord hath said will we do, and be obedient**. (There are no authoritative sources for this text).***

Book of the War

Numbers 21:14 *Wherefore it is said in the book of the **wars of the Lord**, What he did in the Red sea, and in the books **of Arnon**,*
There are no authoritative sources for this text).

Book of Jasher

Joshua 10:13 *And the sun stood still, and the moon stayed, until the people had avenged themselves upon their enemies. **Is not this written in the book of Jasher?***

2 Samuel 1:18 *(Also he bade them teach the children of Judah the use of the bow: behold, **it is written in the book of Jasher.)***

The Manner of the Kingdom / Book of Statutes

1 Samuel 10:25 *Then Samuel told the people **the manner of the kingdom, and wrote it in a book**, and laid it up before the Lord.*

Book of Samuel the Seer

1 Chronicles 29:29 *Now the acts of David the king, first*

*and last, behold, they are **written in the book of Samuel the seer**, **Ever came across these hidden books of God? Is it fair for god to deprive us of his words? Or did mankind loose these documents? Still think god wrote it huh!!***

Acts of Solomon

1 Kings 11:41 *And the rest of the **acts of Solomon**, and all that he did, and his wisdom, are they not written in the book of the **acts of Solomon**?*

Shemaiah the Prophet

2 Chronicles 12:15 *Now the **acts of Rehoboam**, first and last, are they not written in the book of **Shemaiah the prophet**,and of **Iddo the seer** concerning genealogies?*

Prophecy of Abijah

2 Chronicles 9:29 *the acts of Solomon, first and last, are they not written in the book of Nathan the prophet, and in the **prophecy of Ahijah** the Shilonite,*

Story of Prophet Iddo

2 Chronicles 13:22 *And the rest **of the acts of Abijah**, and his ways, and his sayings, are written **in the story of the prophet Iddo.***

Book of Jehu

2 Chronicles 20:34 *Now the rest of the acts of Jehoshaphat, first and last, behold, they are written in the **book of Jehu the son of Hanani**, who is mentioned in the book of the kings of Israel.*

Sayings of the Seers

2 Chronicles 33:19 *and the places wherein he built*

high places, and set up groves and graven images, before he was humbled: behold, they are ***written among the sayings of the seers****.*

Acts of Uziah

2 Chronicles 26:22 *Now the rest of the acts of Uzziah, first and last, did Isaiah the prophet, the son of Amoz, write.*

The Annals of King David

1 Chronicles 27:24 *Joab son of Zeruiah began to count the men but did not finish. Wrath came on Israel on account of this numbering, and the number was not entered in the book of the annals of King David.*

With all due respect to my Christian brethren I think what is stated is crystal clear. This will also hope to explain God willing why Jesus was always so hard on the SCRIBES

JEREMIAH 8:8 "How can you say, We are wise, and the Law of the Lord is with us'**? But, behold, the false pen of the scribes has made it into a lie,"** Note: (Whenever you see that term 'law of the Lord' the Hebrew word is Torah.)!

2 KINGS 22:8 "And Hiil-kiah, **the high priest**, said unto Shaphan, the scribe, I have ***found*** the **book of the law** in the house of the Lord. And Hil-kiah gave the book to Sha phan, and he read it."

Lost and found

The accession of Josiah and his eagerness to restore the worship of yahweh led to the renovation of the Temple,

and in the course of this, B.C. 621, the book of Deuteronomy was discovered. The priest took it to the king, who joyfully welcomed it and set about putting its program of religious reformation into effect. The dramatic story is told in 2 Kings 22 and 23. Josiah put a stop to the idolatrous practices of the people. (Edgar J. Goodspeed 'How came the Bible?' pgs22-23)

120 bc -100 bc 1 and 2 macabees

only found in Jewish old testament and not in Christian modern day old testament, however it has now been introduced into the new bibles. The most valuable book is 1 Maccabees in the old testament, it contains an account of the Maccabean War, which took place about a century before the New Testament. Many of the religious parties that appear in the New Testament, such as Pharisees, Sadducees, and Zealots, were founded during that time. That war also led to the Jewish doctrine that the **Messiah would be another Judas Maccabee and not Jesus Christ**

The Qur’an is in conflict with the holy bible

I think for those who don't believe that the Torah was lost to posterity, it would do good to rethink there beliefs “And I asked, does the Muslim holy Quran have a rite to state or hold that the Torah and the bible was lost or corrupted?”

The holy Quran which is the source document of Islam says:

فَوَيْلٌ لِّلَّذِينَ يَكْتُبُونَ الْكِتَـبَ بِأَيْدِيهِمْ ثُمَّ يَقُولُونَ هَـذَا مِنْ عِندِ اللَّهِ لِيَشْتَرُواْ بِهِ ثَمَنًا قَلِيلاً فَوَيْلٌ لَّهُمْ مِّمَّا كَتَبَتْ أَيْدِيهِمْ وَوَيْلٌ لَّهُمْ مِّمَّا يَكْسِبُونَ

(79. Then woe to those who write the book with their own hands and then say, "This is from Allah (God)'' to purchase with it a little price! Woe to them for what their hands have written and woe to them for that they earn thereby.)

The Qur’an seems to confirm that the Jews and the Christians know and discover that there books are changed, but they still hold on to it knowingly and lead people astray.

Are we truly stubborn and arrogant

وَالَّذِينَ كَذَّبُواْ بِـَٔايَـٰتِنَا صُمٌّ وَبُكْمٌ فِى الظُّلُمَـٰتِ

Their arrogance, and little knowledge, is like that of the deaf-mute who cannot hear nor speak, he is also being blinded by darkness. Therefore, how can such a person find guidance to the straight path or change the condition he is in?

So how did the Greek gods influence the original bible?

The New Testament is in Greek .The evidence shows that all **Christian roots lay in the writings of the Greeks.** The ancient Greeks loved the magical and supernatural world of mysticism and religion. Rome was entirely influenced by Greek superstitions and philosophies.

The Greeks stole everything they knew from the Egyptians and the Persians. ( ) They believed that god was Reincarnated from God into man. They made virgin sacrifices; they created symbolic statues that represented gods. They worshiped saints. They invented heavenly fathers and mothers; they strengthened

themselves with holy ghosts, dark spirits and the holy spirits. They performed rituals that run beyond our imagination.

When we read the book of revelation we can truly understand these dogmatic ideologies such as fire nosed dragons etc. In Revelation 16:13 And *I saw three unclean spirits like frogs come out of the mouth of the dragon, and out of the mouth of the beast, and out of the mouth of the false prophet.*

When we read the book of ***"bell and the dragon"*** *in the Old Testament we discover how Daniel kills the dragon when he mixes tar and hair and boils it. He feeds the dragon and then its stomach explodes.*

Most Christian scholars say that to interpret the book of revelation then you must read Daniel. They argue prophecy using these two books. However they never speak straight, they will always interpret the dragon as something else. ***It's a sickness I tell you!***

Am I crazy? Or does this passage prove that the author was high!

---------- . Black Athena is a book covering a detailed description of European theft from Egypt

More magic….

An example Luke 1:35

And the angel answered and said unto her, The **Holy Ghost shall come upon thee**, and the power of the Highest shall overshadow thee: therefore also that **holy**

thing which shall be born of thee shall be **called the Son** of God.

The logic goes… does the holy ghostly spirit come in unto her, then a holy being is born of the Holy Ghost and it is now a son of god, read the passage. It says that Jesus will **be called**; the word is **called** **“son of god”** Does **called** mean he is god’s son. Incredible don’t you think.

A trinity god because the spirit comes upon her, **my point is, is Jesus an ordinary human conceived by a miracle or did god come in unto Mary**? Remember Jesus referred to himself many times saying he is the son of man.

Mistranslation mystery

This is what happens when trying to translate an eastern language with a western eye. What I am saying is that Christianity follows now a translation of a translation. The end result is men’s influence… The King James Version and all its begotten bibles’ are really not good enough to prove who and what the nature of a spirit is! Is it a soul of a human, an angel, a devil, a ghost, a hidden enchanting power that possesses man from God, the son of god, or god?

Every church has a different belief! In fact the only thing Christianity can brag about is the healing of people by removing devils which they superstitiously created, more than that it offers nothing in the light of intellectual stimulation and solutions to social problems. Christianity can’t solve basic human problems, but we know oh Christ worshiper how arrogant u can be.

Now u see me then u don't (hidden books?)

The caves were the Dead Sea scrolls were hidden 2000 years ago

Keep in mind that the findings were always well hidden,as the Dead Sea scrolls. It would seem as though fear of death may have made the early Christians and Jews hide their parchments and manuscripts. ***The Apocrypha of the New Testament have a similar criticism as the four gospels, for the inspiration was claimed by the writers. This simply meant that it was not 100 percent god's own words; many of the apocrypha scriptures had an effect on the divine nature of Jesus and thus changed Jesus from a god to a man.***

Was this bible we have today truly inscribed on tablets by god, or was it men's word inspired...

Welcome to the Apocrypha or hidden books of the New Testament

The New Testament apocrypha books were not accepted by Catholics or Protestant readers; it includes several extra gospels and lives of apostles. Some of these books are very conflicting and controversial to the Church. Many of these writings were discovered early in Christian history and have produced live speculation about the state of affairs in Early Christianity the discoveries have even created a new breed of lost Christians who now refuse to believe in the teachings of the church.

Though Protestants and Catholics bibles differ, the Ethiopian Orthodox is an exception. They add I & II Clement, and Shepherd of Hermes to their bible. The most famous apocryphal book of the New Testament is without doubt *the* Gospel of Thomas. Most of the codices found in Nag Hammadi, include the only complete text of the Gospel of Thomas, many gospels discovered are also considered as apocrypha of the New Testament.

70- 100 AC The secret gospel of Mark

Handwritten in Greek more than 1,600 years ago, it isn't exactly clear but the surviving 400 or so pages carry a version of the New Testament that has a many interesting differences from the Bible used by Christians today .The Gospel of Mark ends abruptly after Jesus' disciples discover his empty tomb.For example, Mark's

last line has them leaving in fear. It cuts out the post--resurrection stories," said Juan Garces, curator of the Codex Sinaiticus Project. "That's a very odd way of ending a Gospel." (The British Library) [5]

---

5. This gospel is even mentioned in a letter of Clement of Alexandria discovered in 1958 by Morton Smith. Chart from Bruce L. Shelley, 1995, *Church History in Plain Language*, 2nd ed., Nashville: TN, Thomas Nelson Publishers, p. 67.
6.

Nag Hamadi Manuscripts

James Davila, a professor of early Jewish studies at St. Andrews University in Scotland, said the Codex also includes religious works foreign to the Roman Catholic and Protestant canons -- such as the "Epistle of Barnabas" and the "Shepherd of Hermes

120- 180 Gospel of Mary

In her introduction in *The Nag Hammadi Library*, Karen King makes these observations: **The confrontation of Mary with Peter, a scenario also found in *The Gospel of Thomas*, *Pistis Sophia*, and *The Gospel of the Egyptians*, reflects some of the tensions in second-century Christianity.** Peter and Andrew represent orthodox positions that deny the validity of esoteric revelation and reject the authority of women to teach.

The Gospel of Mary attacks both of these positions head-on through its portrayal of Mary Magdalene. She is the Savior's beloved, possessed of knowledge and teaching superior to that of the public apostolic tradition. Her superiority is based on vision and private revelation and is demonstrated in her capacity to strengthen the

wavering disciples and turn them toward the Good.

As Christians what needs to be recognized is that Mary is the only person to have witnessed the crucifixion and resurrection of Christ. Remarkably this is all not mentioned in her Gospel.

80-120 epistle of banabas/gospel of banabas

Barnabas wrote a gospel of his own called the Gospel of Barnabas. (the gospel of barnabus was a gospel stolen out of the Vatican from pope sixtus (1585-90) by Fra marino and later given to the world to see, it rests in the hofbibliothek in Vienna) .The Church now claims this Gospel as a forgery. The epistle of Barnabas can be traced back as early as 130ac because it is extensively quoted by church father Iranaeus.

The prophet of islam "Mohammad" is foretold in Christianity" ...

Gospel of Barnabas Ch# 220 : jesus says: And though I have been innocent in the world, since men have called me "**God," and "Son of God,"** God, in order that I be not mocked of the demons on the day of judgement, hath willed that I be mocked of men in this world by the death of Judas, making **all men to believe that I died upon the cross**. **And this mocking shall continue until the coming of Mohummed**, the **comforter** from God. Who when he shall come, shall reveal this deception to those who **believe in God's law."**

The Cypriot Church claimed independence from the Church of Antioch in the 5th C appealing to the apostolic authority of Barnabas (over Paul). The Third Eucemenical

Council supported the Cypriot's claims but the Church of Antioch persisted in their designs. At this point the Cypriot's uncovered the relics of Barnabas, along with the books of Matthew he is supposed to have carried with him, and appealed directly to the Emperor Zeno. He approved the relics and upheld the right of the Cypriot's to elect their own archbishops.

In the 11th C. the city of Milan followed this lead and imported the Cypriot Barnabas mythology, adding an extra leg to the travels of the apostle to strengthen the claims of Milan to an independent, ancient tradition (the Ambrosian rite). From the 11th C. onwards Milan had on-going links to the Barnabas traditions of Cyprus and there were several influxes of relics (and accompanying material)

Historical proof shows again the how the north of Cyprus is Muslim till today. The south of Italy and Spain was Islamic for hundreds of years. It was by force that the Catholics wiped out Muslims from Italy Spain and south Cyprus in the name of Christ.

70-100 Gospel of peter/ The Apocalypse of Peter *(clearly denies Christ was crucified)*

Come therefore; let us go on with the completion of the will of the incorruptible Father. For behold, those who will bring them judgment are coming, and they will be put to shame. **But me they cannot touch. And you, O Peter, shall stand in their midst**. Do not be afraid because of your cowardice. Their minds shall be closed, for the invisible one has opposed them."When he said those things, I saw him seemingly being seized by them.

And I said "What do I see, O Lord, that it is you yourself whom they take, and that you are grasping me? **Or who is this one, glad and laughing on the tree?** And is it another one whose feet and hands they are striking?"The Savior said to me, **"He whom you see, glad and laughing, is me. But this one into whose hands and feet they drive the nails is his fleshly part, which is the substitute being put to shame,** the one who came into being in his likeness. But look at him and me." (Coptic script nag hamadi)

80-120 ac Gospel of Judas

In this gospel Judas is portrayed as the savior to Jesus as well as the most sincere of all disciples, he even shows Jesus as having a great sense of humor and laughing, **the most controversial section in this gospel is when Jesus is said to have kissed Mary on her lips many times. .**

The *Assumption of Moses*

(**again tells us Christ was taken up before crucifixion)** it seems to provide a more consistent account of the Mount of Transfiguration experience, in which both Moses and Elijah appear to Peter James and John and Jesus is transfigured before them, in that none of these individuals are required to be either spirits or resurrected persons. It also provides a consistent view of Jude (1:9) in that the author of that work viewed the dispute between Michael the archangel and Satan as an actual attempt by the Devil to kill Moses.

70- 150 The Gospel of the Nazarenes /The Gospel of the Ebionites

Peter and James were Jews that converted to Christianity. They followed the laws of Moses as Christ commanded; they people who followed them were called the **Ebionites, in Hebrew (evyonim) meaning "poor men"**. This is the earliest Christian group ever spoken off

by all gentile church fathers; thanks to there enemies we find recorded writings about the Ebionites in history.

This gospel is considered as most authentic to the ebonite's researches, They were the first Jews that became Christians, the ultimate aim **of this gospel that differs to modern Christianity is in the total following of the laws of mosses,** early sources claim it to be in Aramaic making it come closer to Christ then the Greek versions of the synoptic gospels. Scholars claim it more authentic then the book of Mathew found in today's bible. However there are many contradictions between this gospel and today's bible.

50-100 Eger ton gospel/the unknown gospel
This gospel speaks of miracles Jesus performed which are not found in the bible today, Jesus grows fruits miraculously on the shores of the Jordan River. It is back dated to before john was written. Again we see reasons that prove the bible was not inspired. It also speaks of following Moses**, which proves it came before Paul's so called new covenant.**

70-200 Fayyum Fragments
Ancient Greek gospel of which much is destroyed.

50- 100 The Gospel of Thomas
Much of this gospel is preserved, commandments are clear, **Christians are commanded to fast and keep the Jewish Sabbath holy**. We read about how Jesus explains the difference between man, spirit and god. He refers to himself as a prophet and not god reincarnated as a man.

50-100 The Didache
This gospel was first ever compiled by the first Jewish Christians who wrote it for the gentiles.

The word lord in this gospel refers to God and Jesus is referred to as a servant or messenger of the father, again we see fasting as the fountain of practical obedience to god. Scholars agree that chapter 9:5 was later replaced by church fathers by the trinity of names. Jesus is not a god or divine in this gospel at all.

There are many more books found and are still under review

- **Apocrypha:** 70 -200 the twelve patriarchs
- **Apocrypha:** 80-100 2 Thessalonians
- **Apocrypha:** 80 -100 Ephesians
- **Apocrypha:** 80 -130 acts of the apostles
- **Apocrypha:** 80-140 1clement
- **Apocrypha:** 80-150 gospel of the Egyptians
- **Apocrypha:** 80-150 Gospel of the Hebrews
- **Apocrypha:** 73-200 christian sibylines
- **Apocrypha:** 80-100 Apocalypse of john
- **Apocrypha:** 90-120 Gospel of john
- **Apocrypha:** The sheperd of hermes (Jesus is not god)
- **Apocrypha:** The gospel of john the Baptist (Jesus as a messiah not god)
- **Apocrypha:** 80-100 1 peter
- **Apocrypha:** The Acts of Peter
- **Apocrypha:** The Gospel of Peter
- **Apocrypha:** The Apocalypse of Peter
- **Apocrypha:** The Preaching of Peter
- **Apocrypha:** The Oxyrhynchus 840 Gospel
- **Apocrypha:** The Traditions of Matthias
- **Apocrypha:** The Gospel of the Savior
- **Apocrypha:** the Infancy Gospel of James
- **Apocrypha:** 60-160 epistle of James
- **Apocrypha**: The Secret Book of James
- **Apocrypha:** The Infancy Gospel of Thomas
- **Apocrypha:** The Gospel of Thomas
- **Apocrypha:** The Book of Thomas the Contender
- **Apocrypha:** The Acts of Thomas

- **Apocrypha:** The Acts of John
- **Apocrypha:** The Acts of Andrew
- **Apocrypha:** The Book of Henoch />
- **Apocrypha:** The Sophia of Jesus Christ
- **Apocrypha:** The gospel of Phillip (Jesus and Mary Magdalene have a relationship)
- **Apocrypha:** Oxyrhynchus 1224 Gospel
- **Apocrypha:** The Egerton Gospel
- **Apocrypha:** Secret gospel of Mark
- **Apocrypha:** The Gospel of the Ebionites
- **Apocrypha:** The Gospel of the Nazoreans
- **Apocrypha:** The Oxyrhynchus 840 Gospel
- **Apocrypha:** The Traditions of Matthias
- **Apocrypha:** The Gospel of Mary
- **Apocrypha:** The Dialogue of the Savior
- **Apocrypha:** The Gospel of the Savior
- **Apocrypha:** The Epistula Apostolorum
- **Apocrypha:** The Acts of Peter
- **Apocrypha:** The Acts of John
- **Apocrypha:** The Acts of Paul
- **Apocrypha:** The Acts of Andrew
- **Apocrypha:** The Acts of Peter and the Twelve

Missing books of the new testaments

Epistle to the Ephesians (Missing) **Ephesians 3:3** *How that by revelation he made known unto me the mystery; (as I wrote afore in few words,* **4** *Whereby, when ye read, ye may understand my knowledge in the mystery of Christ)*

Epistle from Laodicea to the Colossians (Missing)

Colossians 4:16 *And when this epistle is read among you, cause that it be read also in the church of the Laodiceans; and that ye likewise read* ***the epistle from Laodicea.***

Where is the source of this Nazarene Prophecy

Matthew 2:23 *And he came and dwelt in a city called Nazareth:_____* ***that it might be fulfilled which was spoken by the prophets****, He shall be called a Nazarene(Never is the word Nazarene used but rather a Christian!! which was in fact a slanderous word from the enemies)*

This proves that the bible can not possibly be god's word! For it was a collection, writers made it godly and claimed them inspired!!!! Ultimately why do these books differ in the belief of Christ?

What we are warned against here is most important. The more serious sin is being able to know what God says and what we'd like Him to say. We take for granted what others pass out of their own opinions and traditions as if they were the very Word of God.

Whether we do this by arrogantly ignoring and entering our own writings to the Bible and proclaiming it as God's Word, or by cleverly making our own interpretations into a new standard of orthodoxy from scripture that was altered, it is equally

wrong.

The different books compared to today's bible

T**he New Testament used by church father Origen** contained the ,Four Gospels, Acts, Paul's letters: Romans,1 & 2 Corinthians, Galatians, Ephesians, Philippians,Colossians,1 & 2 Thessalonians,1 & 2 Timothy,Titus,Philemon,1 Peter,1 John, Revelation of John, **Hebrews,James,2 Peter, 2 & 3 John, Jude, The Shepherd of Hermes, Letter of Barnabus, TheTeachings of Twelve Apostles and the Gospel of the Hebrews**

the New Testament used in the church at Rome called the ("Muratorian Canon") had different books too when compared

Four Gospels, Acts, Paul's letters:Romans,1 & 2 Corinthians, Galatians , Ephesians, Philippians, Colossians 1 & 2 Thessalonians, 1 & 2 Timothy , Titus, Philemon, James1 & 2 John, Jude, Revelation of John, **Revelation of Peter, Wisdom of Solomon to be used in private, but not public worship and The Shepherd of Hermes**

Who Decided Which Books Should be in the Bible?

The Sacred Scriptures used by the Jews at the time of Christ were simply adopted by the Church and became what is known as the Old Testament. The books of the New Testament were not finalized until the fourth century by Catholic Councils and Catholic popes. Before the 4th Century there were many worthy "gospels" and "letters" floating around the ancient world. Christian liturgies used what they had available.

The four Gospels, as we have them today were

recognized as inspired, as were many of Paul's letters. This was now 200 years after the Nicene drama. But about many other writings there was disagreement. For instance, some people thought *Hebrews, Jude, Revelation and 2 Peter* were also inspired. Others were very certain that the books of *Shepherd of Hermas, Gospels of Peter and Thomas, Letter of Barnabas and Letter of Clement* were inspired.

Starting around the year of 175 A.D. various lists of inspired books of the New Testament were made by Bishops and saints. **The uncertainty about the inspiration of Christian writings lasted for nearly four centuries.**

Canonization of Scripture

Pope Damasus in 382 A.D. By the time the fourth century of Christianity was nearing completion, prompted by the Council of Rome, wrote a decree listing the 73 Old and New Testament books of the Bible.
Council of Hippo, in North Africa, **393 A.D.** At the same 73 Books of the Old and New Testament were approved or canonized. **Council of Carthage, (North Africa) 397 A.D.** approved the same 73 books of sacred scripture that the Catholic Church uses today.
Finally, in 405 A.D. Pope St. Innocent I approved the same 73 books of the canon and closed the canon of the Bible.

Up until the close of the canon there was much debate among faithful Catholics. That is probably why it took four official decisions to close the canon: Two Councils and Two papal decisions. And the later Protestants

kicked out many other books and canonized Thiers with 65 books,

In fact, there is no statement in scripture about which books are canonical and which is not, the canon is a feature of the church you belong to!

Church Councils Throughout History

50 A.D. *Jerusalem Council Against Judaizers.*
232 A.D. *Synod to condemn Origen's work. Origen left for Caesarea, where he taught Gregory Thaumaturgus and Dionysius.* ***252 A.D.*** *African Synod under Cyprian*
270 A.D*. Council of Antioch to condemn Paul of Samosata's writings. Attendees included Gregory Thaumaturgus and Anatolius.* ***314 A.D.*** *Ancyra. 12 bishops were present.*
315 A.D*. Council of Neocaesarea.* ***Much of the Nicean Creed was copied from this creed.***
324 A.D. *Synod of Antioch*
325-680 A.D. *has been called the Synodical Period.*
325 A.D. Nicea I *-* ***Opposed Arians and created***

Nicean Creed 250-318 bishops out of 1,800 bishops in the world. Arians kicked out of Orthodox churches
325-381 A.D. *Gangra*
335 A.D. *Synod of Tyre*
353-369 A.D. *Council of Ashtishat*
343/344 A.D*. Sardica*
343-381 A.D. *Synod of Laodicea*
357 A.D. *Synod of Seleucia - Hippolytus present*
375 A.D. *Synod of Ancyra attempts to arrest Gregory of Nyssa* ***380 A.D****. Council of Saragossa I - against Manichaeans*
381 A.D. *Constantinople I - called by Emperor Theodosius* ***I - 150 bishops condemned some sects,*** *reaffirmed Nicea I and* ***defined the Holy Spirit as consubstantial and coeternal with the Father and Son. Bishop of Constantinople 2nd in precedence to Rome.*** ***394 A.D.*** *Council of Carthage I*
397 A.D*. Council of Carthage II Augustine and other put in Apocrypha* ***397 A.D.*** *Council of Carthage III*
399 A.D. *Council of Carthage IV*
401 A.D*. Council of Carthage V*
401 A.D. *Council of Carthage VI*
415 A.D. *Council of Diospolis*
415 A.D. *Synod of Jerusalem* ***-*** *Pelagius* ***defends himself against charges*** *The Feast of Annunciation was started by Chrysostom (around 400 A.D.) according to the Ante-Nicene Church Fathers vol.6 p.58 footnote 1.*
419 A.D. *Carthage XVII 217* ***bishops adopted canon of the Bible*** ***431 A.D.*** *Ephesus - called by Eastern Emperor Theodosius II and western Emperor Valentinian II to condemn Nestorianism.*

Nestorians kicked out of Orthodox Churches ***(Cyril was involved and there were a lot of politics)*** ***441 A.D.*** *Council of Orange I* ***deposed Cheliderius of Besancon because he married a widow before receiving priestly orders*** ***451 A.D.*** ***Chalcedon - 150 bishops*** *- Accepted writings of Theodore of Mopsuestia, Theodoret of Currhus and Ebas of Edessa. Monophysites kicked out of Orthodox Churches*
457 A.D. *Council of Milan*
501 A.D. *Council of Rome*
505-506 A.D. *Synod of Dvin I*
506 A.D. *Agde - Caesarius of Arles presided*
524 A.D*. Arles - Caesarius of Arles presided*
527 A.D. *Carpentras - Caesarius of Arles presided*
529 A.D. *Synod/Council of Orange II - Caesarius of Arles presided* ***condemned Faustus for being Semi-Pelagian*** ***529 A.D.*** *Vaison - Caesarius of Arles presided*
531 A.D. *Orleans I*
533 A.D. *Auvergne*
533 A.D. *Marseille - Caesarius of Arles presided*
538 A.D*. Orleans II*
541 A.D. *Orleans III*
541 A.D*. Constantinople - repudiated Council of Ephesus*
550 A.D. *Synod of Dwin II - rejects Council of Chalcedon*
553 A.D. *Constantinople II - called by Emperor Justinian I, anathematized Theodore of Mopsuestia, Theodoret of Currhus and Ebas of Edessa.*
554 A.D. *Second Council of Tvin (Dvin II)*
560 A.D. *Synod at Brefi in Britain (David of Wales participated)* ***569 A.D****. Synod at Caerleon in*

Britain (David of Wales participated) ***589 A.D****. Toledo - filioque clause put in to Creed*
592 A.D*. Concilium Quinisextum or second Trullan council (Orthodox Church)*
614 A.D. *Council of Paris*
649 A.D. *Lateran Synod - called by Pope Martin I - 105 bishops* ***662 A.D.*** *Council of Hereford in Britain* ***664 A.D****. Synod of Whitby, Britain Oswy* ***abandons Celtic church for Roman Catholic church***
679 A.D. *Council of Hatford in Britain*
680 A.D*. Constantinople III - called by Emperor Constantine IV.* ***condemned monothelitism***
680 A.D*. was the last general council, because this was the last church council where both the eastern and western bishops were present.* ***691 A.D.*** *Constantinople IV - called by Emperor Justinian II.* ***legislative code for the church. Western church never accepted this.*** ***692 A.D.*** *Quinisext*
742 A.D. *Council of Germanicum -* ***banned Easter fires***
743 A.D. *Council of Lestines -* ***banned Easter fires***
747 A.D. *Synod of Franconia*
754 A.D. *Constantinople V -* ***called by Emperor Constantine V. Condemned image worship.***

<u>The differences amongst the men of god comes as an insult to any person's sense of intelligence, they were as confused as rats in a maze</u>

787 A.D**. - Nicea II condemned Constantinople V and **accepted image worship.
794 A.D.** Council of Frankfurt of western bishops. **This council rejected the 537 A.D. Council according to The Ante-Nicene Fathers vol.6 p.53 824 A.D**. Council of Paris - **France and the East tried to agree on the devotion to be paid to images.
853 A.D.** Council of Quiercy - **condemned double predestination - Hincmar of Reims presided
869-870** Constantinople VI called by Emperor Basil I **to confirm deposing Photius,** Patriarch of Constantinople. **879 A.D**. Constantinople VII - **called reinstated Patriarch Photius to repudiate council of 869-870.
***990 A.D.** Synod of Charroux, France*
***990 A.D.** Synod of Narbonne, France*
***990 A.D.** Synod of Puy, France*
***991 A.D.** Council of Limoges, France*
***998 A.D.** Council of Poitiers, France*
***1003 A.D.** Council of Thoinville, Germany*
***1007 A.D**. Council of Frankfurt, Germany*
***1023 A.D.** Council of Seligenstadt, Germany*
***1025 A.D.** Council of Arras I against Manichaeans*
***1038 A.D.** Council of Bourges, France*
***1050 A.D.** Council of Rome I condemned Berengarius of Tours **1050 A.D**. Council of Vercelli - condemned Berengarius of Tours **1051 A.D**. Council of Paris - condemned Berengarius of Tours*
***1059 A.D.** Council of Rome II condemned Berengarius of Tours **1076 A.D**. Synod of Worms*
***1079 A.D.** Council of Rome III condemned Berengarius of Tours*

1080 A.D. *Council of Burgos - Imposed Roman rite on Spain instead of Visigothic rite*
1096 A.D. *Council of Clermount - launch first Crusade*
1097 A.D. *Council of Arras II*
10/1/1098 A.D*. Council of Bari - East vs. West*
4/24/1099 A.D. *Easter Synod*
1102 A.D*. Council of London*
1128 A.D. *Council of Arras III*
1128 A.D. *Council of Troyes - Criticized Bernard of Clairvaux* ***1140/1 A.D.*** *Sens Bernard condemns Abelard* ***1148 A.D.*** *Cremona - excommunicated Arnold of Brescia*
1163 A.D. *Synod of Tours*
1179 A.D. *Council of Hromcla - Nerses of Lambron almost effected union of Greek and Armenian Churches*
1179 A.D*. 3rd Lateran Council*
1184 A.D*. Council of Verona -* ***condemned Waldenses and Humiliati 1197 A.D****. Council of Berona -* ***Burn Waldenses to death***
1207 A.D*. @Pamiens tried to reconcile Waldenses and Catholics* ***1215 A.D.*** *4th Lateran Council*
1218 A.D. *Council of Bergamo 12 Waldenses said* ***no purgatory or prayers for the dead***
1229 A.D. *(local) Council of Toulouse after the capitulation of the Albigensians,* ***and forbidding the laity to possess Bibles****.* ***1274 A.D.*** *Lyons*
1311 A.D. *Vienne*
1341 A.D. *Constantinople VIII Condemned* ***monk Barlaam for opposing Hesychast sect on Mt. Athos.***
1414-1417 *Constance - under Martin V -Ended Great Schism of anti-Popes. 2* ***Popes deposed, 1 abdicated,***

and 1 new Pope elected. Condemned 45 propositions of Wycliffe and 30 of Hus, who was burned at the stake.

1413 *Council of Prague*
1431-1449 *Basil*
1438/9 *Council of Florence*
1532 *Council of Cianforn - most Waldenses accept Reformed teaching* ***1545*** *Council of Trent.* ***Catholic church recognizes the gospel and Condemns it.***

It met for 19 years in 25 sessions. When it opened there were 15 bishops and 4 others.

1672 *Council of Jerusalem.* ***Orthodox church recognizes the gospel and Condemns it****. It refutes Cyril Lucar point-by-point.*
1829 *Council of Baltimore*
1833 *Council of Baltimore*
1837 *Council of Baltimore*
1840 *Council of Baltimore*
1843 *Council of Baltimore*
1846 *Council of Baltimore*
1849 *Council of Baltimore*
1869 *Vatican I 700 bishops.* ***Some sessions had as few as 100 bishops the first code of Canon Law was published in 1917.***
1962-1968 *Vatican II 2,900 bishops and 2 prelates*
5/1919 *Philadelphia World Conference on Christian Fundamentals*

The popes' gathered leaves from a

dead tree, they decorated it in pages and stitched it in gold, they proclaimed! “We present to thee o servants of the lord the sweet fruits of a tree long gone”.

Who took the Apocrypha out of the Bible?

The ancient church universally used what we call the Apocrypha. If a person says, “Our church is just like the first-century church,” **then for that to be true, they’d have to use Bibles that include the Apocrypha.** By the fifth century, Latin had supplanted Greek as the language of the people in the western Roman Empire, so the bishop of Rome commissioned St. Jerome to make a new Latin translation of the Scriptures, because the older Latin ones were not very good.

ST Jerome 425 AC went to Bethlehem to learn Hebrew, where he discovered that some of the books that were not in the Septuagint (Greek Old Testament) were in the Palestinian Old Testament. He decided they should not be part of the Bible and refused to translate them. Since those books were in liturgical use (prayer purposes), the Roman church tried to add in his translation with an older translation the missing books.

The result was called the Vulgate, and it became the official Bible of the Roman Catholic Church for over 1,000 years. During the middle Ages, the public Scripture readings that have always characterized

Christian worship gradually excluded the Old Testament, and along with it, the Apocrypha.

Many hymns, anthems and other acts of praise in Christian worship, that were widely used from the very beginning that were taken from the Apocrypha slowly vanished. One good example of this is verses 29-34 of the Song of the Three Young Men, which is still used in the Rite One Morning Prayer of the Protestant Episcopal Church of the USA. **All churches, Protestant, Catholic, and Orthodox, used the Apocrypha through the Protestant Reformation.**

Martin Luther translated the entire Bible into German, and in doing so, **started the system of placing the Apocrypha in a separate section,** apart from the Old Testament and New Testament. All of the original Protestants used the Apocrypha, though, like everyone else, not very much.

Three committees of translators produced the King James Version in 1611 AC. **One was for the Old Testament. The Second for the Apocrypha, and the third for the New Testament. The King James Version has officially left these books out.**

God’s word divided and later erased incredible!

What is a protestant?

Philip Schaff sums up the principles of Protestantism in the following words: “The Protestant goes directly to the

Word of God for instruction, and to the throne of grace in his devotions whilst the **Roman Catholic** consults the **teaching** of his **church** and prefers to offer his **prayers** through the medium of the **Virgin Mary**

It is from Protestantism that thousands of churches have mushroomed with countless number of beliefs, from Anglicans to Mormonism. Protestantism is a sickness with no cure. It is a sickness that requires prevention and not a cure.
Understanding this weakness that salvation is only in the blood of Christ! Would make one realize how futile and weak the church has become. It is in good brain washing that the lay church going Christian never makes an effort to question and ask.

Why Can't I refuse? Why must I accept anything the church shoves down my throat without proof!

Three doctrines in relation to the Bible

From this general principle of Evangelical freedom, and direct individual relationship of the believer to Christ proceed the three fundamental doctrines of Protestantism - the absolute supremacy of

1. **The Word**
2. **The grace of Christ**
3. **The general priesthood of believers. . . [(0)]**

True religion means that all must be professing the same Faith and live under the same discipline_ Protestantism claims millions of Christians_

products of the Gospel and the fancies of a hundred reformers, a people constantly bewailing their "unhappy divisions" and vainly crying for a union, this is only possible under that very true authority (one god); sadly protestation is their only common denominator. [(o)]
Catholics use mediators such as saints and Mary to god, Protestants worship Christ and trust in him as a protector and Unitarians reject both of these concepts believing Christ is but an ordinary man.

(The New Schaff-Herzog Encyclopedia of Religious Knowledge", s.v. Reformation)
. catholic encyclopedia (Protestantism)

Unitarian Christians

They simply broke out from the Christian doctrines and believed that Christ is not god, they are as drowning men clutching on straws. ***They blindly accept the King James Version bible as gods own words but they continue changing it with there hands and made the new world translation. The Jehovah witnesses are such a group.*** *However they still claim Christ is a son of god .They destroys the idea of judgment and hell. As to there way of prayer most still go to god through Jesus Christ.*

Well Then! Indeed they have not changed much. What we do agree about is that in there hearts they have a desire to find the truth. Christians are searching for the truth but…… they running helter scelter from church to church, maybe its time they start thinking outside the box. Analyze other options like Islam.
Are you a Jehovah witness?
It is indeed a miracle that a new religious following called Jehovah witness has graced our planet. The heavenly father decided to give light to the great Russell and reinterpret his biblical doctrine in 1890,this can only happen in the united states of America. The birth place of every virgin cult.

1) *The claim that **jehova** is Gods name.*

A. *We ask did Jesus say **Jehovah Jehovah lama sabachthani**. Or did Jesus say **Eli, Eli, lama sabachthani**? That is to say**, My God, my God, why hast thou forsaken me**? And were in the bible does it say that Jesus ever used the word Jehovah.* ***We demand proof!***

Q. *They claim that God never ever spoke of hell fire in the hereafter.*

A. *The Jehovah witnesses began there ministry based on the verse in the **king James version, Isaiah 12:2 for the LORD JEHOVAH is my strength and my song** but miraculously they delete the verses were Jesus refers to hell fire in the same bible on several places. In **the king James version*** **Jesus says in Mathew: "if your rite eye offends thee then pluck it out for it is better to enter the kingdom of heaven with one eye then to be in hell fire with two eyes**" it is pathetic that sects differ on this. But our faithful King James Version of the bible still has the hell fire verse in it "Miraculously" the new bible of the Jehovah's new world translation does not.

1) *Is prophecy a valid test?*
    1) *Again we see the Jehovah witnesses behaving like church mice, silent and afraid to confront there own lies. There most enlightened ones have predicted the coming of Jesus 4 times in this century. Alone. But to there amazement Jesus left them waiting. So we demand proof again concerning there prophecy's.*

There are many points that can clarify there weaknesses, but will this really change there perspective. God willing they must. Maybe I am very hard in my explanation and don't deserve to be listened to. So i guess i leave u once again on your own with your intellect.

How it is that mankind refuses to reflect

Two churches one bible? So who goes to hell

	Catholic	**Protestant**
Authority	Scripture and tradition of Moses law	*Sola Scriptura* - Scripture alone no laws
Bible	Includes some books apocrypha	Excludes most books of apocrypha
Results of Fall	Corruption and tendency to sin	Total depravity and guilt
Free will	Free to do good or evil	Free only to do evil
Predestination	Related to God's foreknowledge	Related to God's decrees
Atonement	Death of Christ created merit that is shared with sinners through sacraments	Death of Christ was a **substitutionary** sacrifice that satisfied God's justice
Divine grace	Prevenient grace helps	Common grace enabling good

	one believe; efficacious grace cooperates with the human will to do good	works given to all; sufficient grace for salvation given to elect only
Good works	Meritorious	Results of divine grace and unworthy of merit
Salvation	Received at baptism; may be lost by mortal sin; regained by penance. Those who have never heard of Christ are not saved.	Result of divine grace; unconditional. Those who have never heard of Christ are not saved.
The Church	The Catholic Church is "the place where humanity must rediscover its unity and salvation" (Catech 845) but those baptized in other Christian denominations are in communion with the Church (Catech 838).	There is a distinction between the visible and invisible church. God saves anyone he chooses, or anyone with proper faith, regardless of church membership.
Sacraments	Convey grace by	Means of grace

	their operation (*ex opere operato*).	only if received with faith.
Priests	A special vocation for some believers; mediators between God and man	Priesthood of all believers.
Transubstantiation	Affirmed	Rejected
Purgatory	Affirmed	Denied
Prayer to saints	Accepted	Rejected

We can again clearly see the differences in believe knowing well that all the differences come from the same bible.

Did the Holy Spirit or holy ghost really inspire the writers of the bible

Writer Bart Ehrman's explains "Not only have we lost the originals, we don't have the first copies of the originals. We don't even have copies of the copies of the originals, or copies of the copies of the copies of the originals. What we have are copies made later-much later.

In most instances, they are copies made many centuries later. And these copies all differ from one another and in many thousands of places . . . Possibly it is easiest to put it in comparative terms: there are more differences

among our manuscripts then there are words in the New Testament. The King James was not given by God but was a translation by a group of scholars in the early 17th century who based their rendition on a faulty Greek text.

The bible does not simply reveal its own meanings to honest inquirers. Texts are interpreted and then they are interpreted, just as they were written by living; breathing human beings. Who can make sense of texts only by explaining them in light of other knowledge, explicating their meaning, putting the words of the text "in other words."

We have only error ridden copies, and the vast majority of these are centuries removed from the originals and different from them, evidently, in thousands of ways" **(**Bart Ehrman's who changed the bible)

If the Holy Spirit did in fact inspire the writers of the bible, than we ask is the spirit not totally confused? Bible scholars agree that most of the gospel was copied from manuscripts and contradict each other in hundreds of places. Indeed! copying is something god would never do.

Really sad believing without knowing don't u think!

Can we question the bibles inaccuracies?

Yes! Why not? If the bible is god's word then surely we won't find any faults, Right. What if we do find errors and the evidence is fact and true? How will u react? Will you defend or accept?

Jews, Christians and Muslims have written countless Books on this subject. Christian scholars estimated 50

thousand errors have been found in the bible. We Know of Verses, paragraphs, Passages, chapters and books that have been taken out and edited and sometimes replaced by others, these bible inaccuracies leave us asking, which is the real one? It is generally claimed by Christians that the King James Version of 1611 is closest to the original and most accurate. We will use this as a bible of accuracy then.

Jesus failed the Prophecy ?

This seems to be Christianity's only defense mechanism. The greatest Prophecy ever made by Jesus is recorded in Mathew 12:37 and is used as an open challenge against every possible argument about the bibles accuracies and prophecies.

Jesus said: **Mathew: (12:37) For by thy words thou shalt be justified, and by thy words thou shalt be condemned. (38) Then certain of the scribes and of the Pharisees answered, saying, Master, we would see a sign from thee. (39) But he answered and said unto them, an evil and adulterous generation seeketh after a sign; and there shall no sign be given to it, but the sign of the prophet Jonas: (40) For as Jonas was three days and three nights in the whale's belly; so shall the Son of man be three days and three nights in the heart of the earth.**

Under scrutiny as Christ says in 12:37 “thy words will condemn you” we ask, was Jonah dead or alive in the stomach of the fish for three days and three nights? We know that the sign of Jonah which Jesus talks of was that Jonah never died when thrown into the raging sea. **Jonah Was Alive** and was swallowed by a huge fish which naturally would have killed him, miraculously again **Jonah Was Alive** and finally Three days and three nights in the belly of the Fish **Jonah WAS Alive** YES three miracles **ALIVE, ALIVE, ALIVE.**

The prophecy states **“like unto Jonah**”. When we look at Jesus, The whole Christian world clearly stands on this that Jesus Died for three days and three nights. **Jesus was dead** and this is the foundation of Christianity! If Jesus was alive then why crucify him and say he **died,** and then raise him after three days.

So we ask is Jesus **like unto Jonah**? And the answer is NO! He is not. However Christian learned men must defend, and in there weakness they say “But Sir u don’t understand, this prophecy speaks about three days and three nights”. It is the time factor and not dead or alive.

In response we calculate, **Luke states 23: 54 and that**

day was the preparation, and the Sabbath (Friday night) drew on. This was the Day Jesus died on Friday evening before sunset.

We follow... **In Matthew 28:1 in the end of the Sabbath, as it began to dawn toward the first day of the week (Sunday).** So we learn Jesus rose Sunday morning. I guess we need Einstein to do the math here.

Fri night	1st night	
Saturday		1st day
Sat night	2nd night	

Sunday morning Jesus rises from the dead
Well there u have it 2 nights and 1 day. So was it three days and three nights? …. You can answer that! So did Jesus Fail in the greatest Prophecy he ever made. He was nothing like Jonah in this prophecy. Do u really think John has a chance in the book of revelation.
Most of so called Prophecies are to do with natural disasters which any human could logically calculate. The book of Revelation is recognized to be the most controversial book of the bible. In Fact no Church is sure and in agreement on the prophecies in Revelation. Every church thinks it knows better and its prophecies are correct. Seventh Day Adventists are amongst the biggest going deception artists in catching the minds of lay Christians. In the name of prophecy huge numbers of Christians are fooled into believing the bible is Gods Word.

Jesus is Crucified by his deciples and the holy Ghost

Deuteronomy 23:2 A bastard shall not enter into the congregation of the LORD; even to his tenth generation shall he not enter into the congregation of the LORD.
Hosea 2:4 And I will not have mercy upon her children; for they be the children of whoredoms.
So if anyone is illegitimate he cannot be a part of God's council. But God is so confused because he contradicts himself… hope u following

Geneses 38:13- 30 we read about how Judah is seduced into having relations with his son's wife, and then she falls pregnant
Genises 38:27 And it came to pass in the time of her travail, that behold, twins were in her womb.
She gives birth to two kids Pharez and Zarah. **Now amazingly these are the great grand parents of Jesus**

Matthew 1: 3- 16 And Judas begat Pharez and Zarah of Thamar; and Phares begat Esrom; and then in **verse 1:16** And Jacob begat Joseph the husband of Mary, of whom was born Jesus, who is called Christ.
All this is collected and fitted into the genealogy of Jesus by Matthew

Silence!! "I kill you"

A list of a few church fathers and theologians who where murdered for there deep insights in the bible are listed below, it may perhaps make the heart ask. Why have they disagreed? How is it that Christian protestants claiming to have been reformed and enlightened still don't seem to understand that there church fathers murdered and burnt there fellow Christians alive.The

seemingly strange behaviour of these individuals who were killed for there beliefs in times of persecution was due to the them being influenced by the desire to be steadfast on the truth and holding firm to it.

Hear, O Israel: The Lord our God is One LORD:" (Deuteronomy 6:4)

Did Jesus have a daddy?

Matthew 1:1	**Luke 3:2**
A. Abraham	1. Abraham
B. Isaa	2. Isaac
C. Jacob	3. Jacob
D. Judas	4. Juda,
E. Phares	5. Phares
F. Esrom	6. Esrom
G. Aram	7. Aram,
H. Aminadab	8. Aminadab
I. Naasson	9. Naasson,
J. Salmon	10. Salmon
K. Booz of Rachab	11. Booz
L. Obed	12. Obed
M. Jesse	13. Jesse
N. David the king	14. David
The confusion starts here	**The confusion starts here**
15 Solomon	15. Nathan
16 Roboam	16. Mattatha
17 Abia	17. Menan
18 Asa;	18. Melea
19 Josaphat	19. Eliakim
20 Joram	20. Jonan
21 Ozias	

22 Joatham 23 Achaz 24 Ezekias; 25 Manasses 26 Amon 27 Josias; 28 Jechonias 29 Salathiel 30 Zorobabel; 31 Abiud 32 Eliakim 33 Azor; 34 Sadoc 35 Achim 36Eliud; 37 Eleazar 38 Matthan 39 Jacob; 40 Joseph 41 Jesus	21. Joseph 22. Juda 23. Simeon 24. Levi 25. , Matthat 26. Jorim 27. Eliezer 28. Jose 29. Er, 30. Elmodam 31. Cosam, 32. Addi, 33. Melchi, 34. Neri 35. Salathiel 36. Zorobabel 37. Rhesa, 38. Joanna, 39. Juda 40. Joseph 41. Semei, 42. Mattathias 43. Maath 44. Nagge, 45. Esli, 46. Naum, 47. Amos, 48. Mattathias, 49. Joseph, 50. Janna 51. Melchi 52. Levi, 53. Matthat, 54. Heli 55. Joseph, 56. Jesus

I demand an explanation!!!!!!!

Can someone please email me! Before I die, and explain to me what is going on with the Holy Ghost. **Who inspired Mathew and luke?**

Q. Why contradict each other in such a way that names and generations are totally inaccurate. Mathew names 41 and Luke names 56
R. Why does Jesus need a father or genealogy when he is born of virgin birth
S. Both lines name Joseph as the father of Jesus. Strange don't you think?
T. Why are there people who are illegitimate in the family tree? Read the chapter above?
U. Was all of this done just so it may seem as if Jesus was the son of David? If so then it means the writers concocted there own genealogy
V. Can we say that Luke and Mathew are the worst of Liars on earth
W. Can we except anything from men who are open liars

Sir Isaac Newton

He was the greatest English mathematician of his generation. He laid the foundation for integral calculus. His work on optics and gravitation make him one of the **greatest scientists** the world has known.At eighteen, he achieved an admirable final report.

In June 1661, he was admitted to Trinity College, Cambridge According to Stillwell, he entered Trinity as a sizar At that time, the college's teachings were based on

those of Aristotle , but Newton preferred to read the more advanced ideas of modern philosophers such as Descartes . In 1665, he discovered the binomial theorem and began to develop a mathematical theory that would later become infinitesimal calculus From 1670 to 1672 he investigated the refractionof light, demonstrating that a prism could decompose white light into a spectrum of colours, and that a lens and a second prism could recompose the multicolored spectrum into white light.

Isaac Newton's religious views

Historian Stephen D. Snobelen says of Newton, "**Isaac Newton was like Nicodemus** , the secret disciple of Jesus, he never made a public declaration of his private faith - which the orthodox would have deemed extremely radical. He hid his faith so well and his personal beliefs. Snobelen concludes that Newton was a non believer in the trinity, almost certainly an **anti Trinitarian.**
In an age notable for its religious intolerance there are few public expressions of Newton's radical views, most notably his refusal to take holy orders and his refusal, on his death bed, to take the **sacrament** when it was offered to him. He entirely disagreed with the views of the **Roman Catholics, Anglicans and Protestants**. In his own day, he was

also accused of being a heretic

Newton’s law on Reality

Although the laws of motion and universal gravitation became Newton's best-known discoveries, he warned against using them to view the universe as a mere machine, as if akin to a great clock. He said, "Gravity

explains the motions of the planets, but it cannot explain who set the planets in motion. God governs all things and knows all that is or can be done."Newton's studies of the Bible and of the early **Church Fathers were also noteworthy.**

Newton wrote works on **textual criticism,** most notably ***A Historical Account of the Two Notable Corruptions in Scripture.*** In his own lifetime, Newton wrote more on religion than he did on natural science. ***He believed in a rationally balanced world*****,** thus an ordered and dynamically informed universe could be understood, it must be understood by active reasoning. About the universe, it was perfect in balance and ordained.

YES! I AGREE WITH HIM, MANDKIND REFUSES TO THINK.

A SCIENTIFIC EXPLORATION OF THE BIBLE

The inaccuracies in relation to biblical verses in light of science is staggering.

SOCIANS WHO AFFIRMED THE ONENESS OF GOD WERE BURNT ALIVE

The doctrine of atonement was also questioned by Socinus directly by his affirming that **Jesus was not God**, but a man. There is no way that a man could atone for all the wrong activities, since a man who was baptized in the name of Christ automatically acquired atonement for his sins. If it is granted that Christ did offer infinite atonement, then it is impossible to speak of God being able to forgive by his own might and power. **The religion of one GOD crossed Europe and spread to England.**

***Bishop Hall of Norwich is recorded as bewailing the fact that**:*

"the minds of Christian men were seduced... through the internal Socian heresy with the non-trinity and New Arian movement".

So the final destruction of Christianity was to be feared. In 1638, brutal and organized persecution of the Socians started. Their College at Rokow was suppressed, and the followers of Socianus were deprived of all civil rights, **and many people who affirmed the oneness of God were burnt alive.**

Socianus Debates

Socinus said that to believe in three gods or three supreme persons is to speak irrationally. The essence of God is one, not only in kind but also in number. **GOD cannot in any way contain two persons,** since an individual person is nothing else than an individual intelligent essence. Wherever there exist three numerical persons, there must necessarily in like manner be reckoned three individual essences. If it is affirmed that there is one numerical essence, it must be held that there is one numerical person. **The doctrine of Trinity was also refuted by Socianus on the grounds that it was not possible for Jesus to have two natures simultaneously.**

He said "two substances having opposite properties cannot combine into one person; it contradicts itself with properties of mortality and immortality. To have a beginning and to be without beginning".

Again, two natures each of which is apt to constitute a separate person cannot be huddled into one person. For instead of one, out of necessity, arise two persons and consequently they become two Christ's, one divine and

one human. The Church says that Christ is constituted of a divine and human nature as a man is of body and soul. Socianus replied that, in that case, this is widely different from the belief that the two natures in Christ are so united that Christ is thus constituted of a divine and a human body.

In a man his body and soul are so conjoined that a man is neither soul nor body. For neither the soul nor the body separately constitute a person.

Furthermore, said Socianus, "it is also repugnant to the Scriptures themselves that Christ should have a divine nature:

Firstly, God created Jesus.

Secondly, the Scriptures say that Jesus was a man.

Thirdly, whatever excellence Jesus had is testified by the scriptures to be the gift of God.

Fourthly, the Scriptures most clearly indicate that Jesus perpetually ascribes all the miracles not to himself or any divine nature of his own, but to the Father. Jesus himself confirmed the Divine Will".

Confessions of the holy father

In 1592 Father Cornelius Loos wrote:

Wretched creatures are compelled by the

severity of the torture to confess things they have never done... and so by the cruel butchery innocent lives are taken; and, by a new alchemy, gold and silver are coined from human blood.

JOHN BIDDLE 1654.

In the English speaking world, every believer in the one God of Abraham owes a very great debt to John Biddle (1615-62). He was imprisoned several times for his **rejection of the Trinity**. He can be regarded as one of the Martyrs for the faith, dying at only 47 years of age, from an illness contracted in prison.

John Biddle is probably one of the best known names amongst the pioneers of "**Biblical discoveries**", he labored long, and hard, and patiently, in the face of severe persecution from the bigots who would tolerate no divergence from the idea of the Trinity, and who sought by all possible means to destroy him. Biddle was a scholar of no mean ability**. At the age of 28 he knew the whole English New Testament by heart and most of it in the orignal Greek**.

He also came to reject the doctrine of the Trinity. By his own testimony, he reached this conclusion without having read any of the literature of others. By 1644 he was sharing his new faith with others, and was promptly called before the magistrates to **answer charges of heresy.**

Six months later in 1646, he was called to London where

the Parliament referred his case to an **Assembly of Divines for consideration**. The case dragged on without resolution and he spent the next 5 years confined to the Gatehouse at Westminster. He continued to write in prison. While there he actually put into print, for public consumption, the private article which had brought him before the Parliament! Naturally this caused uproar, and the pamphlet was seized and burnt by the hangman. However demand for it was so great that it was reprinted before the end of the year.

These events led to a call for Biddle's death, and this was one of the major factors which led to the hurried **enactment of the 1648 "Ordinance for Punishing Heresies and Blasphemies**" mentioned above. Over the next two years Biddle wrote prolifically. In 1654 he produced his own "**Twofold Catechism**". When this book was drawn to the attention of Parliament, he was again imprisoned, this time in Newgate, and his book was burnt by the hangman**.**

Arrested! The capital charge: Blasphemy and Heresy. The 1648 Ordinance against Heresies, which had been thought to be dormant and replaced by Cromwell's pledge of religious freedom, was used against him. He and several friends were arrested. Five weeks later he fell ill with "prison fever", and was released, but died two days later at the age of 47. Biddle left no denomination to bear his name. The small bands of immediate followers disappear from history shortly after his death.

However there can be little doubt that all of us today, who hold Abraham’s faith in the One God, owe this man a great debt. The influence of his teachings has long survived his death. Biddle did not aim to be merely a reformer of Christian doctrine. For Biddle, religion without a moral dimension was no religion at all. He was not concerned with doctrine as an end in itself, but as a foundation for the holiness of character to which it should

lead.

Unlike many others engaged in controversy, he was not by nature quarrelsome or opinionated, but modest and self effacing. He was tolerant of others who differed. His personal character was beyond reproach. His reputation indicates that he lived what he preached.

The Dark Side of The superstition murders!

The Reformation played a critical role in convincing people to blame **witches** for their problems. Protestants and reformed Catholics taught that any magic was sinful since it indicated a belief in divine assistance in the physical world. The only supernatural energy in the physical world was to be of the devil.

People were left with no form of protection other than to **kill the devil's agent, the witch**. Killings Particularly in Protestant countries began, where protective rituals such as crossing one self, sprinkling holy water or calling on saints or guardian angels were no longer working, people felt defenseless.

Today using suggestive hypnosis, churches are once again thriving and fooling the masses by demons and speaking to the dead. **I asked did Christ perform miracles or were all the people possessed whom he treated.** Remember before u reply, anyone can remove demons, it’s a common act in every culture.

Shakespeare's character, Prospero, says in The Tempest: Now my charms are all overthrown, and what strength I have's mine own, which is most faint...

It was most often the sermons of both Catholic and

Protestant preachers that would instigate a witch hunt. The terrible Basque witch hunt of 1610 began after Fray Domingo de Sardo came to preach about witchcraft. **"There were neither witches nor bewitched people until they were talked and written about,"** remarked a contemporary named Salazar. The witch hunts in Salem, Massachusetts, were similarly preceded by the fearful sermons and preaching of Samuel Parris in 1692._

Genocide a council order

The climate of fear created by churchmen of the Reformation led to countless deaths of accused witches quite independently of Legal courts or procedure. For example, in England where there were no inquisitional courts and where witch-hunting offered little or no financial reward, many women were killed for witchcraft by mobs.

Instead of following any judicial procedure, these mobs used methods to ascertain guilt of witchcraft such as **"swimming a witch*," where a woman would be bound and thrown into water to see if she floated. The water, as the medium of baptism*,** would either reject her or prove her guilty of witchcraft, or the woman would sink and be proven innocent, albeit also dead from drowning. As people adopted the new belief that the world was the terrifying realm of the devil, **Christians during these dark ages simply blamed witches for every misfortune**.

Since the devil created all the ills of the world, his agents the witches could be blamed. Witches were thought by some to have as much if not more power than Christ: they could raise the dead, turn water into wine or milk, control the weather and know the past and future. Witches were held accountable for everything from a failed business venture to a poor emotional state.

A Scottish woman, for instance, was accused of witchcraft and burned to death because she was seen stroking a cat at the same time as a nearby batch of beer turned sour. Witches now took the role of scapegoats that had been held by Jews. Any personal misfortune, bad harvest, famine, or plague was seen as their fault.

74

The Church had failed miserably in relation to teaching the true doctrine of the one and unique god, the creator and protector of all creation.

Sir francis David

Francis David was born in Kolozsar, Transylvania, in 1510. He was a brilliant student. He opposed the two dogmas. The divinity of Jesus and the trinity. In 1579 he was killed and buried as an animal in an unknown grave. A brilliant student trained as Catholic Prietty hood winning a scholarship to Wittenberg where he trained for the Catholic priesthood for four years. On his return to Kolozsar, he was appointed as rector of a Catholic school.

He then accepted Protestantism, left Catholic school and in 1555 became the rector of a Lutheran school. When the split in the Reform movement between Luther and Calvin took place, David joined the Calvinist party. The Reformation was still young and in this atmosphere the spirit of enquiry was not yet completely inhibited. Discussion was allowed on every aspect of Christianity.

The Reformed Church had not yet adopted a fixed

doctrine and there was room to think freely. In this situation, it was possible to advocate a freedom of belief in which each individual was only accountable to God. He could not see why anyone who believed in these mysteries without trying to understand them was considered to be a better Christian. He was not prepared to follow a faith blindly. Gradually he reached the conclusion that Jesus was not Divine, and affirmed belief in the existence of One God.

This belief already had strong adherents in Poland. The leaders of this group were two: Blandrata, the court physician, and a man called Socianus. While David was still formulating his idea of faith, King John of Transylvania fell ill and called Blandrata to treat him.

David met Blandrata during his stay there and this confirmed his acceptance that belief in One God was the true basis of Christianity. In 1566, David produced a confession of faith which showed the position of the dogma of Trinity in the light of what the Bible actually said. In it he disowned the scholastic concept of Father, Son and Holy Ghost. He published a paper in which he formulated seven propositions refuting these doctrines both positively and negatively.

In the same year, on the recommendation of Blandrata, King John appointed David as his court preacher. As such, David became spokesman for the Unitarian party in the national debates called by the king to clarify the religious issues of that time. He was an incomparable public speaker, one of his contemporaries said of him, “he seemed to have the Old and New Testament at his tongue's end."

David afterwards summed up the debate in these words:

I followed the line of Scripture, but my opponents hid it in a bag; they turned light into darkness when they made three of God and two of Christ. Their religion is self-contradictory to the extent that even they cannot present it as a whole. Nevertheless, they will see that even against their will God will prove His Truth.

The disappearance of the trinity

(john5:7) There are three that bear witness in heaven: the Father, the Word and the Spirit and these three are one; and there are three that bear witness on earth, the spirit, the water, and the blood, and these three are one

There is strong evidence that this Trinity passage was entirely concocted and foisted upon by Christians and determined theologians who needed

support for their prized theological doctrine. I may well confirm that today we are at the "200th edited version of the bible". **What is also really unusual is that this verse which represents the trinity has disappeared from all the latest bibles. The latest scriptures have removed this verse very clearly.**

Again we may ask WHY? My point is they write what they want!!!! We have to believe in it. And don't dare ask them why? For if we do then we are doomed to hell. All I am saying is that i simply refuse and I don't except there lies and deception. The bible is created by men with motive!

May Allah (GOD) Almighty guide us all to the truth? May Allah cause us to destroy our egos and May Allah guide us in our quest of critically analyzing to discover the true way, And May Allah guide us to the truth, (al Islam.) Ameen

The Holy Qur'an

I once asked a Muslim sheikh in a very witty way. Are you guys for real, I mean is it true that you know the whole Quran **" word for word and letter for letter by memory"** And then I waited to see what he's reaction was. He smiled and replied "**yes it's true! " Muslims around the world from the tender age of six know**

the complete Quran by memory, word for word and letter for letter. Remember he said “the most common word in the Quran is the name of God (Allah) and then the word **ilm** meaning **seek knowledge**”.

How strange indeed, **memorized in its original form in Arabic for 1400 years by millions without being edited anywhere in the world from china to Africa.** It is hard to really compare this source document to the bible or the torah. The language is always in the first person unlike in the testaments. Here gods word is read directly in command form, where as in the testaments it is in the third person or even fifth. **For e.g. in the torah it says almost 500 times “Moses said to the lord or the lord said to Moses” meaning it’s was not Moses or god writing but a third person. The Quran always uses a commanding tone saying “Read!”, “Proclaim!”, “Will you deny the favors’ of your lord!” Or “O mankind!”** it is always in the language of the first person making it exclusively god’s word.

The classical Arabic used makes it one of the worlds most riches languages giving it the sweetest meaning verse for verse. What I found to amaze me was that the Quran was not formulated in a story book pattern with history as a medium. The torah and new testament begin with a pattern resembling human touch as in gen 1;1 and john 1;1 with the words **“in the beginning** god created” It reminds me were I recall these words in my childhood “once upon a time long long ago”.

The Quran begins with “**In the name of Allah the most supremely compassionate the most supremely merciful. This is the Quran! In it is guidance sure without doubt to those who understand”.**

The Quran was recited verbally by the angel Gabriel to the

prophet Mohumed over a period of 23 years, who in response read it back to the angel. It was then memorized by the early Muslims and written down. Thus the Quran had become a standing miracle preserving itself and being protected in the heart of man.

Are there any miracles in the quran that can prove the Qurans truth?

Pharaoh

Seriously looking at the quran can make a man humble himself that he would be overcome with tears and desire that death should overcome him out of fear of Allah .this is a standing miracle that is to complex for the human mind to perceive.

We read about pharaoh the great enemy of God in the torah.hes mighty army and his oppression. He pursues Moses and is made to drown in the ocean. A phenomena that no bible thumper could say we have evidence of his death in the old or new testament.

The quran was revealed two thousand years after the death of pharaoh and Allah makes a shocking statement saying

“And when death over came Pharaoh he said I believe in Allah”

“We will preserve you in your body oh Pharaoh as a sign for thinking men, yet mankind are heedless of our clear signs”

Mohamed and his companions were in amazement at these verses knowing that Allah never lies. Muslims questioned through the centuries were this body of Pharaoh was. No one Knew, the body of Pharaoh Remsis 2 **was discovered one thousand three hundred years**

after the verses of the quran were revealed in 1950. In a time were technology was able to uncover it and preserve it yet again, it rests in a vacuum glass coffin in the great Egyptian museum for tourist to come see. Above it are the verses of the quran clearly inscribed?

Rome and Persia

The greatest and mightiest empires in history were destroyed in three verses of the holy Quran.a standing miracle that non can dispute.byzantine the Christian empire grown and natured for 2000 years and Persia a superpower established 1500 years both destroyed in 10 years of islams rapid spread.

Space exploration

Allah says "Oh mankind and Jinn if you wish to penetrate the barriers of the heavens then penetrate; indeed it is only by the permission of Allah".

From within these verses mankind explored the heavens astronomically and later mankind did physically. Remember this verse never says Muslims but rather mankind meaning every nation will be a contributor to space exploration.

The word penetrate also signifies energy burn out and not just a random flight like that of birds.

Iron

But what about Amagaddon

Revelation 16:16 **and he gathered them together**

into a place called in the Hebrew tongue Armageddon.

The evil forces of Satan will meet at the great plains of Armageddon and pssh! The Jews will become Christians and the great army of the antichrist will be destroyed in bloodshed up to there knees. How can Christians allow Islam to overpower them? Are we going have to kill and wipe out 5 billion Muslims? Is it possible for so many people to gather at such a small valley? This is unacceptable! Is Armageddon a dream? What about the 1 billion Hindus and 1 billion Buddhist. It seems though we going to need another Armageddon in the great Hindu Kush Mountains or perhaps along the great wall of china.

Armageddon is a prophecy Jesus never even mentioned or spoke off. In fact this word is only mentioned once in the entire bible by john, an author no one really knows. Most bible scholars agree he is not the john that was known, they suppose it to be another john. These passages in revelation have been interpreted in hundreds of different ways, by hundreds of different scholars making the book of Revelation the most controversial book in the bible.

In fact most scholars even claim that johns book of revelation was a reflection of his own experiences in relationship to the roman conquerors. Whatsoever it may be, we ask again, do Dragons really exist and have they ever? This is the perfect book for a children's cartoon.I appeal to my readers to ponder and ask Why is such strange stories never mentioned in any way in the Holy Qur'an. Stories of monsters and dragons with seven heads can only be found in the old and new testaments. So who are the antichrists? **How ironic, Muslims are not antichrist because they all accept Jesus as the Christ.**

The temple of Solomon

It is a recorded fact that with all the wisdom of archeology the great temple of Solomon is not found. In fact no one knows its exact location! Christian and Jewish dreams are **BASED ON AN INACURATE ACCOUND OF A REBUILT TEMPLE**. In a desperate call to find the temple and the arch of the covenant, excavations under the great mosque of al Aqsa goes on unrelenting.

The Holy mosque of al Aqsa was built by Abraham with the exact same dimensions in the foundation of the great mosque in Mecca Saudi Arabia, called the Kabah. There is no link in Jewish text that speaks of this mosque built by Abraham in Palestine; However Islam had elevated this mosque of al Aqsa 600 years after Jesus. At the time Islam spread to Palestine there was nothing of a temple of Solomon there. It was destroyed without a trace by the Romans in 60AC after the Jewish revolt by Simon bacoptar.

Even if we had to suppose Al Aqsa is the temple of Solomon. Then we ask is this not going to trigger the greatest war over a place of worship. 3 billion Muslims at war with 20 million Jews. Is this prophecy not going to come true were **Allah says: there oppression will surely come to an end.**

Remember it was in the name of the holy church in Jerusalem that the crusaders attacked Muslim lands. There victory was certain, but only for 100 years. Islam victoriously defeated the crusader armies with minimal blood shed by the famous Salahudeen Ayoobi. History has shown that Muslim governors were never oppressive over non Muslims in there lands. Israel and her claims are illegitimate and I say it as an agent against oppression, to survive Israel must be diluted into Arab society as in South African history.

The idea that Israel can exist as an independent state in Palestine

is the perfect ingredient for Jewish suicide

Is Israel part of Gods Covenant?

Even a blind man can see with certainty of insight, Israel is doomed to destroy itself; Israel is as a speck of dust in the nostril of an Arab horse. Its total integration into Arab society is a sneeze away; it has no chance, just a little time to strut around deceiving the Zionist Christians. Hitler was a power to deal with in relation to Nazism, these foolish neo Nazis are so engrossed in this dream that they actually believe that the Creator of the Worlds has signed a covenant with them to kill, steal and commit oppression.

The idea of Ashkenazi Jews (European Jews) claiming land 2000 years later is as warped as Red Indians claiming land in Miami. The pure breed Jews had mostly embraced Islam almost 1400 years ago. A handful of Jews remained in Palestine and lived in peace under Muslim rule. The Jews of today are mostly Jews that were displaced by the European slave trade and happened to be by products after European wars as far back as Roman rule.

After world war two the European Christians needed to keep Jews as far away from Europe as possible, it was a dump and grow strategy in the name of bible prophecy.

Now if prophecy is true then as Muslims we recognize the verses of the Qur'an were God almighty says that he will elevate the Jews with wealth, might and family. Allah then says they will again be destroyed by a superior power purely as a sign, because of there oppression. God almighty is most just and his justice is most certain. (Holy Qur'an: surah Bani Israel)

Allah says: "Do not let the strutting around of the oppressors deceive you".

“Indeed Allah is swift in punishment”

WHY the coming of Islam and the Prophet Mohammed?

It may seem obvious for it is not difficult to see. What had happened to the scripture as well as Christian doctrine? Within the corruption and confusion of all religions Islam came to reestablish that Allah (god) is uniquely one and that Moses, Jesus and Mohamed are God’s messengers. Most importantly is that faith must be established by action. We are witnesses to the fact that Muslims are indeed most practical examples of a religion**. Muslims are too religious for Christians to digest.**

Islam is everything Christianity and Judaism suppose to be.

Why use the Jewish and Christian scriptures as evidence to prove Islam’s truth

The Quran states that Allah revealed verses concerning certain issues of importance to the Jews and Christians also, you see to find the truth of god’s oneness and his commandments simply means, that evidence can be traced in the older scriptures as in the torah the psalms

and the Gospel.

So the referencing of scripture must ultimately make one realize were the truth is. However the Quran is the work of Allah alone. **Muslims do not use the Jewish or Christian scriptures at all.** It is used as a reference only to show the people of the knowledge were the Christians and Jews may have changed or misunderstood the true message of god.

Evidence of Allah in the Jewish and Christian Bible

Clarifying the linguistic connections between the Names Allah and Elohim. First we see the identical pronunciation in Scripture: The word for God in Genesis 1:1 is *elohim*, which is essentially a plural form of a more basic root-Hebrew word for God, (*eloh*).Furthermore, the Arabic translation of the Jewish Bible uses the name "Allah" to refer to God in Genesis 1:1

In addition to connection based on sound, we also discover the connections of the two Names based on roots, spelling, meaning, and geography.

If one were to find the word אלה (*eloh*) (alef-lamed-heh) in an inscription written in paleo-hebrew, aramaic, or some sort of Nabatean script, it could be pronounced numerous ways without the diacritical marks to guide the reader. A L H (Ben Yehuda's Hebrew-English Dictionary)

The root itself finds its origin with an older root, *el*, which means God, deity, power, strength. So, one of the basic Hebrew words for God (*eloh*), can easily be pronounced

alah without the diacritical marks. The Arabic word for God, Allah, is spelled in a very similar way. We're quickly starting to notice the obvious connections between the respective words for God in these closely related Semitic languages (e.g. Allah, Alah, and Eloh, being related to Ilah, Eel, and El, respectively). By Dennis Giron (www.jewsforallah.com)
Let me make it more clear.... we have made the connection in terms of spelling, as all these words are spelled similar to one another.

1 **The geographic connection is there, as these respective languages originate in regions that are very close to one another.**
2 The roots are also the same.
3 The meanings are essentially the same.

In conclusion, the ancient Semitic names for God (Allah and Elohim) are actually the same. **Mark (chapter 15 verse 34) and (Mathew chapter 27 verse 26) Eloi Eloi lama sabachthani and Eli Eli lama sabachthani .** Jesus called god by his name translated my God my God why have thou forsaken me. The root word A L H can be found clearly. English simply mutilates the pronunciations.The same would apply to Allah lu ya. (Allah be praised)

Do muslims believe in the holy ghosts

Yes we do, but not in ghosts as a spook or dead spirits or holy ghosts in the Christian context? So did Jesus believe in ghosts and use ghosts as a form of inspiration? In Arabic this word ghost does not really exist. However the closest word is ruh or ruh al quds, (Holy Spirit). In its context Ruh (holy spirit) means holy angel or angel Gabriel. We find true references to this in the birth of Jesus were the angel Gabriel blew on Mary and she conceived. The Quran refers

to the archangel angel Gabriel as the Holy Spirit or holy angel. Gabriel is god almighty's messenger to mankind. This Holy angel spoke to Abraham, Moses,david, Jesus and Mohamed.

Why does Islam say Ismail was to be sacrificed and not Isaac

Proof from a biblical narration will make it very easy. To find any inaccuracies, we must look at the Old Testament and see what god commanded Abraham. Genesis 22:16 "Thine only son" is in reference to his first born son dearest to him. Abraham had his first wife Sarah from whom he had no child. It was his greatest desire to have a son after he gets married to hajar (hagar) Ismail is born. Using the verse **thine only son** implies his first born who was closest and dearest to his heart.hes son Ishmael. Logically who was of greater value to sacrifice, the first born u love dearly or a second born knowing that if he dies u still have another. Ishmael was an Arab so the Jews felt that superiority belong to them. Thus where all references of Ishmael is made; the biblical text was changed to Isaac. We have covered many areas in the old testament that were edited in previous chapters but this is how they do it.

Delete, edit and paste.

What is Islam's view of heaven and hell

Heaven and hell is not a point of dispute in Judaism, Christianity and Islam. The knowledge of heaven and hell can be understood from revelation as well as logic. All scriptures make mention of this reality. But sometimes mankind asks and wants a logical answer. When we as humans ponder over the justice of a creator we conclude saying God almighty is most fair and most just.

God will never deprive his creation of his justice. It is with this we recognize that if we commit atrocities, plunder, and murder, oppress and kill innocent women and

children and get away with it on earth than surely the creator must find some form of justice for the murdered souls. On earth perhaps George bush would pay for one soul by being electrocuted in death row, but how does he come to justice for the millions of lives he destroyed through his oppression and wars. Allah will most certainly take him to task. Every little child will get full retribution for being mercilessly tortured and killed

Modern day Christian cults have come with the idea that heaven does exist but not hell and God does not punish or burn a soul. They blatantly go against Jesus words also. Jesus says in Mathew: “if your rite eye offends thee then pluck it out for it is better to enter the kingdom of heaven with one eye then to be in **hell fire** with two eyes” it is pathetic that sects differ on this. But our faithful King James Version of the bible still has the hell fire verse in it “Miraculously” the new bibles don’t.

What part of a physical punishment, you do not understand? In The holy Quran Allah sums up and emphasizes the point of hell very clearly.
Most certainly you will be burnt and u will feel this punishment with certainty of sight and pain. Islam does not apologize for Allah’s justice. Paradise and Allah’s mercy is mentioned two times more when compared to his punishment in the quraan.hes mercy is always much greater than his displeasure. God almighty has promised a garden of great pleasure for truthful and sincere believers. Places were in u will abide forever in Peace. A gift for those hearts that strive to do well, who believe in Allah as the only God without any partners or helpers?

Why do Muslims pray with there heads on the ground

There are many places in the old and New Testament proving that all prophets including Jesus worshiped god in this way. It is also logical that if mankind were to humble himself to the king of the worlds, then surely he will

prostrate with out pride. The evidence is shocking! Muslims worship Allah in the pure way as Jesus did! A few verses should get you thinking.

Old Testament Muslims

Genesis 19:1 Lot seeing them rose up to meet them; and he bowed himself with his **face toward the ground;**
Genesis 48:12 And **Joseph bowed himself with his face to the earth**
Numbers 22:31 he Balaam bowed down his head, **and fell flat on his face**
Joshua 5:14 And Joshua fell on his face to the earth, and did worship,
Ruth 2:10 Then **she fell on her face**, and bowed herself to the ground,
1 Samuel 20:41 David arose out of a place toward the south, **and fell on his face to the ground, and bowed himself three times**
1 Kings 18:42 And Elijah went up to the top of Carmel; and he cast **himself down upon the earth, and put his face between his knees,**
2 Chronicles 20:18 And Jehoshaphat bowed his head with **his face to the ground**: and all Judah and the inhabitants of Jerusalem fell before the LORD, worshipping the LORD.
Ezekiel 3:23 Then I arose, and went forth into the plain: and, behold, the glory of the LORD stood there, as the glory which I saw by the river of Chebar **and I fell on my face.**

New Testament Muslims

Matthew 17:6 And when the disciples heard it, **they fell on their face**, and were sore afraid.
Matthew 26:39 And Jesus went a little further **and fell on his face,** and prayed, saying, O my Father, if it be possible, let this cup pass from me: nevertheless not as I will, but as thou wilt.
1 Corinthians 14:25 And thus are the secrets of his

heart made manifest; and so **falling down on his face** he will worship God, and report that God is in you of a truth.

Who is Mohamed?

Mohammed (peace be upon him) is an ordinary man who is not to be worshiped. Mohammed (peace be upon him) is the seal of all prophets and with a most complete and final message. An example of this is the detailed lifestyle of a Muslim. Islam presents laws on inheritance, marriage, divorce, economics, personal law, judicial laws, social balances, equality etc. Mohamed's position in the holy Quran as a final messenger from god to mankind is very clear.

What should be mentioned in defense of Mohammed the prophet is the way the western writers try to deceive anyone who shows interest in understanding Islam. They accuse him of things that are found in the language of the bible. The bible has always accused the prophets of god of sleeping with there daughters (gen 19:36) and indecent behavior which is evidently found very common amongst catholic and protestant holy man.

Eg: They say Mohammed's wife was 6 yrs old!

In response the evidence is: Tabari in his treatise on Islamic history reports that Abu Bakr had four children and all four were born during the - the pre Islamic period. Aisha was born in the period of jahiliyyah (before islam), she could not have been less than 14 years in 1 AH. *Tarikh al-umam wa al-mamloo'k, Al-Tabari, Vol. 4, Pg. 50*

Many scholars are of the opinion that Aisha (may Allah be pleased with her) was 18 when she was married to the holy prophet. Even in the books of narrations there is evidence showing calculations of Aisha being much older.

There is also no historical proof to show that this was the

custom of the Arabs in Islam. There has never been any tradition showing marriage at six . Shariah law states that Muslim women can be married with their permission after they matured. For every accusation made, the response is very **clear “the truth will prevail for falsehood is in its nature sure to perish”.**

Ishmael the legitimate son of Abraham

Deception by the Jews and Christians come to play again,they try making it seem as though Ishmael was not a legitimate son of Abraham, another accusation that Mohamed was from an illegitimate father . to clearify we Quote the Bible verse:

(Genesis 16:2) And Sarah Abram's wife took Hagar her maid the Egyptian, after Abram had dwelt ten years in the land of Canaan, and gave her to her husband Abram to be his **wife** .

However the new bibles simply erase **the word wife and enter concubine.** All bibles up until 2008 say **wife**. The Jews change and the Christians follow, verse politics have again scored a point of change. In trying to make the state of Israel legitimate the church makes words in the bible illegitimate.

Hilarious ☺ , Hilarious ☺ Hilarious ☺ , Hilarious ☺ ,Hilarious ☺

So when is the Jewish messiah coming

A dream with no end is as a prophecy with no foundation. A prophecy, created by there hand and claimed to be in the name of god. The Jews have unjustly transgressed the limits of God over the centuries and broken here covenants with God.They have broken it by inspiring there scripture with the breath of there priestly band and interpretation. It can be understood that Jewish tradition is only selfish,

racist and enslaving. They will enslave every non Jew and manipulate there scripture saying that charging Interest money is pure to non Jews but illegal to Jews.

Hypocrisy influenced directly from the torah and Talmud. Perhaps the rise of a mischief maker who may create more corruption in the land is the only messiah I can foresee arise for the Jews. Saint worship is also a common sickness amongst Jews. Rabbi nag man tomb in Ukraine Oman is the most inconceivable phenomena today, so much so that Jews can actually feel the soul of the dead rabbi in them.

The messiahs the Jews had through history have all failed them miserably, little wonder they await another!

Evidence in the new testament about Mohamed

(Muhammad in Arabic for praised one)

John, (16.7-14) Jesus said: Nevertheless I tell you the truth: It is expedient for you that I go away: for if I do not go away, **the Comforter** will not come to you, but if I depart, I will send ***him*** unto you.
And when **he** comes, ***he*** will reprove the world of sin, and of righteousness, and of judgment Of sin, because they believe not on me of righteousness, because I go to my Father, and ye see me no more of judgment, because ***the prince*** of this world is judged.

I have yet many things to say unto you, but ye cannot bear them now. **W*hen he*, the Spirit of truth***, comes***, he*** will guide you unto the truth: for ***he*** shall not speak of ***himself***; but whatever *he* shall hear, that shall ***he*** speak: and ***he*** will show you things to come ***He*** shall glorify me for ***he*** shall receive of mine, and shall show it unto you.

What I understand is that if a crucified Jesus was total salvation then why send the comforter or a prophet to guide men referring to him as **he,**

History has proven that Mohamed is the only man **(HE)** after Jesus who reached the world with a practical way of life and movement that believes and practices the law of Moses and follows Jesus fully, establishing judicial laws. Islam believes and follows the teachings of Jesus in full glorifying Christ, by fasting, eating pure foods, circumcision, economics etc all in light of gods Law, legal laws.

Verse 14 : for he shall not speak of himself means
* the angel Gabriel (who is god spiritual messenger) reads the quran to Mohamed to teach gods word to him) a verbal revelation.

Mohamed hijacked out of the torah

Muhammad's name appeared in **Haggai 2:7** under the hebrew word *mahmad* (**מחמד)** which means praised one (Muhammad is Arabic for praised one). It almost undoubtedly is referring to the Arabic Prophet Muhammad.

The 5th chapter of Songs of Solomon is discussing someone. Jews will say it is discussing Solomon, Christians will say it is discussing Jesus. Considering this is the Songs of Solomon, it would seem logical that it is discussing Solomon. The verses describing this mystery man have the narrators speech conjugated in the feminine (meaning it is a woman who is describing this man) so it is possible that it is one of Solomon's wives discussing her Husband (Solomon).

Throughout the chapter, someone is being discussed. Whomever it is, **verse 15 says his** "countenance (face) is as Lebanon**", so this is an Arabic gentleman (or Arab looking), a** Semitic man none the less**.**

Verse 11 says "his head is as the most fine gold, his locks are wavy, and black as a raven". Verse 10 describes this man as being "radiant and ruddy" which means he was slightly light-skinned with a rosy color. This can be confirmed in the Sahih Bukhari Hadiths, Volume 4, Book 56, Number 747 which says Muhammad was slightly light skinned, with a rosy color (and also has the same hair as is mentioned in verse 11). Also verse 14 describes this man as having a stomach like ivory.

I take it this means the parts of his body that were usually covered by his garment from the sun, were very white (like ivory). This also can be atributed to Muhammad who although having a rosy, golden color, had white armpitts (Sahih Bukhari, Volume 2, Book 17) says you could see the whites of his armpitt when he raised his hand). **WHAT'S THE POINT?!?!**

Discussing skin colour and hair color is fruitless, and if I was basing it solely on that, it could be describing any Semitic man. However, this person's name is given. When reading the English translation of Songs 5:16 it finishes the description by **saying "He is altogether lovely". The words "altogether lovely"** was translated from *mahmad* (). We'll take a closer look at this four character word, and prove this is talking about Muhammad...

מחמד

First of all, the way this word is written in Hebrew is . That happens to be the EXACT same way Muhammad's

name is written in Hebrew . Let's look at the spelling of this word...

מחמד

It has four characters. Going from right to left they are...

Now, when writing in Hebrew, there is no difference between the word *mahmad* (מחמד) and Muhammad (מחמד). The only difference is in the vowels used when pronouncing this word (מחמד). Hebrew is an ancient language, and there are no vowels. It is made up of 22 consonants.

In ancient times, the reader decided on his own which vowels to add in. It was not until the 8th century that vowels were introduced, in the form of dots and lines. However, this has nothing to do with real Hebrew.

The word *mahmad* (מחמד) in ancient times would most likely have been pronounced "mahamad". According to Ben Yehuda's Hebrew-English Dictionary, (מחמד) is correctly pronounced "mahamad" (not mahmad) which is very close to Muhammad.

Ben Yehuda's Hebrew-English Dictionary defines "" as "lovely, coveted one, precious one, <u>praised one</u>". The correct way to say "praised one" in Arabic is Muhammad, so this is the same word! As was stated before, the name Muhammad ((מחמד)) and the word *mahmad* (מחמד) are spelled exactly the same way in Hebrew, and both have the same meaning. The only

reason they are pronounced different is because of vowels (dots and lines) introduced in the **eigth** century. The Hebrew word for praised one is



The Hebrew word for Muhammad (the Arabic Prophet) is

In conclusion, if Songs of Solomon chapter 5 is discussing a man to come after that time, it is without a doubt Mohammed, as it even mentions his name. **Any Christian who believes Jesus is the Messiah, and believes that books were sent down by God after the TaNaKh (Jewish Bible), then surely they should take into account what they just read here, and consider the Qur'an before making a decision. (Jews for Allah.com)**

What is the Holy Quran?

The Quran is the source document and the Muslim book of authority, when compared to the Torah or Holy bible, a unique style is noticed. **The nature of the writing continuously unveils the speech in the first person. If compared to the gospels of the Jews, Christians, Hindus etc one can easily see it is not human. There are no gospels written by disciples or by the followers of disciples like Paul. Mohamed himself has no word of his own in the Quran; he is spoken to and instructed by the Allah the creator himself, again showing the speech in the first person.**

Mohammed (peace be upon him) is called a Warner and a messenger to all mankind, the words are clearly seen as gods own speech preserved in its original text .The author

of the Quran argues that man should reflect, think and ponder over its unique style and splendor if truly men is doubtful.

Allah (god) says in the Quran that this Quran will appeal to the men of understanding, men who ponder and wonder over the greatness of creation and the creator. The Quran calls on people who are emotionally, spiritually and physically cleansed. More over they desire and insist on having direct speech with the almighty creator of the worlds.

"**And there are, certainly, among the People of the Book (Jews and Christians), those who believe in Allah (GOD), and that which has been revealed to you o Mohamed and in that which has been revealed to them, humbling themselves before Allah(GOD): They will not sell the Revelations of Allah (GOD) for a miserable gain! For them is a reward with their Lord, and Allah is swift in account."**
{Holy Quran 3:199}

The majority of contributors to the writing of this book are all reverts into the true way of Islam, a revert means to come back to the truth. **The nature of man is to believe in a creator and Islam is exactly that. It is only a complete way in full detail teaching men how to recognize the creator and there after, knowing how to worship him.** May Allah guide us to the truth and may Allah keep us practicing and submitting in a state of honour ameen.

What does the Quraan say about Jesus

Islam is the only non Christian religion on earth that believes in Jesus as the messiah and final prophet to the Jews. The Quran commands Muslims to believe in all the

miracles Jesus performed. Without a doubt Muslims believe in the miraculous birth of Christ and his virgin birth by the his Honored mother Mary (peace be upon her) **In Fact Islam is the only religion which Gives Jesus the greatest of honor by living his example practically which most modern day Christians don't do.** Islam will even defend Isa (Jesus) by clarifying his position in the sight of God from a people who dishonor him and God, and worship him making him into god.

The idea that jesus is god as in John 1:1 which says in the beginning was the word and the word was with god and later scripture **says and the word became flesh**

The Quran responds saying Allah creates everything

"The similitude of Isa (Jesus) is like that of Adam, they were both created by Allah's word (command) and they were", **We do not worship what god creates but Allah only.**

The claim that God almighty has a "begotten son" is also condemned with very strong words in the Quran. Allah says that he will question Isa (Jesus) on the judgment day asking him, "**O Isa (Jesus) did u tell the people to worship you?** "And he will reply "Glorified be Allah! indeed you have full knowledge of my actions, indeed they have committed access in worship"

Why do Muslims Say Jesus was not Crucified?

The Qur'an says "Allah has protected his slave Isa (Jesus) from abuse and elevated him" Allah says **"neither was he killed nor was he crucified but it seems as though he was".** From much research one comes to understand that the bible is openly challenged by the Quraan.

Lets us go through the crucifixion in detail. **Mathew says Jesus gave up the ghost in the sixth hour and died.** I was astonished at the devoted Christians that crucified themselves for three days in South America and the Philippines.Incredibly not a single recorded death was found in 6 hours. It is medically impossible to kill a man with three nails in six hours, why?

Well the blood simply clots and no more bleeding. A most unbelievable situation

But if we do say that Jesus was pierced and that blood and water came out. We ask were did the water come from when he was dehydrated and starved; again we have a scientific inaccuracy.

Incredibly he was shrouded with oil and spices and put into a huge chamber in the mountain tomb which belongs to Joseph of Aramathea. The reason for this was so Jesus could raise three days and three nights later. As we have shown in the section "prophecy blindfolded" on page 77 **… .Jesus does not raise in three days and three nights** (1)

We read that when the disciples go looking for Jesus the bible is totally contradicting in most instances. Mathew mark Luke and john don't tell us exactly what happens. The account we have is of marry and we will follow that as proof because she is the only eye witness to Jesus

apparent crucifixion, shrouding and raising from the dead.

What was the sign of Jonah by Ahmed deedat

Is Mary's account the lifeblood of Christianity

In fact without Mary's testimony there is no Christianity. So this again makes one think of how important the **Gospel of Mary** is, yet it is rejected by the church.

We read that as Mary enters the chamber a conversation between her and the angels take place when she sees Jesus missing. She weeps and then a man asks her **"Women why weepest thou whom seekest thou"** she looks at him and thinks him as a gardener, then she replies **"were have they laid him so I may fetch him"** he than says **"Mary"** and only then she sees it is Jesus, Mary replies Rabboini and tries to hold Jesus but immediately Jesus refuses and tells her I am still flesh and blood.

Can u imagine a resurrected Jesus in a gardeners camouflage?

6. Why did Jesus dress up like a gardener in such a way that even he's beloved Mary could not recognize him?
7. Why did Mary come after 2 nights and one day when she knew the prophecy says three days and three nights
8. Why did she come to anoint him again if he was already dead
9. Why did he refuse her to touch him
10. Why did water come out when he was dehydrated yet it is impossible medically

1. Did Jesus really die in six hours even thought evidence proves it is not possible
2. Can the Quran have even a little claim that that Jesus was not killed or crucified

Many scholarship works concerning the bible events have concluded that Jesus never really died on the cross, they question if he was even placed there in the first place. There is proof that he may have survived the crucifixion and that will explain why Mary went two days early to anoint him, it even explains why Jesus hides from soldiers in a camouflage dressed as a gardener and the pain he would have experienced if marry had touched him to greet him traditionally.

Muslims kill in the name of Allah

Jihad !! boom! kapish ! It is a great misconception that is covered by a veil of fear. The media and ignorance of Islam are as common as the late night news. This word **holy war** is a Christian term first used during the crusaders by pope urban 2.

Analyze someone **“holy”**, it reminds us of a virtues and good hearted person who prays. Someone who is calm relaxed and has a tranquil life. Now picture war and we perceive bloodshed killing, brutality and death. So how do we fit two contradictory words into one statement **“Holy war”**

The word “**Holy War**” is not found in any verse in the Holy Quran? **The word jihad simply means to exert your best and submit to Islam or peace**. The issue in relation to suicide bombing is seen by Islam as an extreme form of war, **war in Islam only becomes compulsory in self defense or when Islam is**

systematically prevented from spreading freely, The jihad laws demand that women, children, old people including fruit trees etc are not to be harmed.

In Palestine the personality of people have transformed them to do anything they possibly can against the worlds most advanced army. Out of desperation they counter attack their oppressors by way of suicide bombing. **Remember in Islam any form of suicide is strongly forbidden.** [1]

However what should be Known is that Islam gives the highest status to the martyrs in defense of there families and property etc... Is war not suicide? Naturally we may ask, is it not a suicide mission going against military targets? [2]

Shaykh Albanis advice to the mujahideen and moderation in war.

Milstones by Said Qutb an excellent book on the dynamics of Jihad.

Why is Islam always at war with the west

I intend trying to explain this in the most simplistic way. The west has always pushed other countries into difficult situations when they refused to trade with them. The western economic system is based on interest, and interest is oppression. The holy Qur'an aims to destroyed interest in all its dimensions. Allah says "Allah's curse is on he who gives takes or even witnesses the interest transaction".

This is highly conflicting to western interest in any country. Islam intends to abolish interest and the west intends giving it life. Islam looks at the western

governments as manufactures of poverty and oppression. Islam is here to establish peace and not enslave a people through economic enslavement. The west will annihilate anything or anyone who stands in her path and Islam will resist oppression in every form and way. In the name of democracy and trade the west will come and in the name of Allah Islam will resist.Interest creates a shortage in wealth. If a million is borrowed then two million must be paid back. So how does a country pay something back if it does not exist? Its starts paying with its resources until there aren't much left. If the country resists then there is sanctions put on it, then the lie of weapons of mass destruction or humanitarian needs are used as an excuse. And perhaps war is then used to change the government thus giving life to more interest in the democratic system.

A total annihilation of all interest transactions is what Islam calls for and an open heart to support your brother through charity and interest free loans. Islam intends to create a land with minimum or even no taxes. Islam uses the resources of the land, spending it on infrastructure and the uplift mint of lives. Remember a broken social system that is infested with excessive freedom in the name of democracies breed gays, poverty, Theft, Alcohol, gambling, Abuse, Divorce, etc. interest will survive as long as people live in corruption.

Why does Islam have shia and Sunni disputes

Historically this dispute had begun at the end of the fourth caliph Ali's life (Peace be upon him).there is an opinion amongst the shia that the leadership of Islam should go to the family of

Mohamed (peace be upon him) and his household. The opinion of the Sunni Muslim is that leadership should go to an elected leader from amongst the companions of Mohamed.

Ali himself never had a dispute with the leadership of the three caliphs before him thus establishing the Sunni view point. The death of the Prophet Mohammed's grand sons by assassins', gave the shia the grounding needed to establish the doctrines they had desired. Thus creating a split in Islam and establishing Shiaism.

Shia doctrine is based on a leadership of 12 imams.they will come over time to uplift muslims in guidance.The sunni world believes in one imam to come at a time when muslim moral will be low and he will simlpy be a reformer who will prepare for the second coming of Christ.Non of these disputes concerning the imams coming can be found of in the Holy Quraan.Allah simply says concerning his Rightheos servants that they should fear displeasing Allah and he will guide them.in another verse allah says:This day have we perfected religion and made islam your religion.

Is the message of Islam complete? in pure islam the coming of imams one or ten and this includes the coming of jesus would have no significance if compared to the complete message muhamed brought in the Quraan. we ask did muhamed finish the job or does he need imams to finish his work. Some even go as far as to say that if u don't believe in these imams then u not a muslim.from this mentality we can see who the creators of hate are. All I demand is Why did allah not mention any imam in the Quraan.

The Muslim world has a majority of 90 percent Sunni and ten percent shia. The truth is that both Sunni and Shia agree on the fundamental statement of Islam and that is **there is only one god and Mohamed his final messenger**. The disagreements are more in jurisprudence and leadership. **We salute the Shia cleric Ayatollah Khomeini for his statement when he said no Sunni and no Shia we are all Muslims**.

The Qur'an used by the Sunni and Shia world is 100 percent the same, word for word and chapter for chapter. On this we are unified. The verses revealed in the Qur'an by Allah in agreement and defense Of the prophets wife Aisha (RA) in Surah Nur and his companions Omar (RA) and Abu bakr are not disputed at all. There is a small group of Shia who in there love for Ali (RA) go to an extreme and claim two chapters more to the Qur'an in which they say Mohamed is the light of Allah, and Ali is Mohummed's light. But this is clearly not accepted by the majority of Shia.

Is it true that Sunni Muslims blindly follow four imams

Blind following of Muslim scholars is forbidden in Islam? Muslims are instructed in the Qur'an to follow the wisdom of Mohamed. So scholars set down and started to collect his sayings and traditions. Early in Islam a science was started by many imams.The sciences of opinion by imam abu hanifah fiqh (Ahle Rai) and the science of hadith Traditions by Imam malik (Ahle hadith) [(1)] . There were an estimated 14 imams who compiled

there works upon reliable sources relating to the life of Mohamed over the next 100 years. Muslims use all there works as reference points in answering questions relating to everyday life.

Risaalah of imam shafi

Later there students like Ash Shafi and his student Ahmed bin Hambal improved there works and codified it making the task of later generations easy. There was a political push to separate the opinion of the imams creating sects in Islam by the Caliphs'. Scholars refused to allow this and established the opinions of Mohamed (hadith)as the only true way defending it with authentic narrations.

Scholars across the Arab world including the cities of the prophet are unanimous, that the way of the salaf as saliheen (pious predecessors) is the most pure way. The galaxy of scholars that followed never followed the opinions of there imams blindly and always established shura, consultative councils where the findings of diferent scholars were given to answer a question relative to a situation. This is a practice in most Muslim countries

However there is a small number of Muslims who hold onto only one imam as a guide to make it easy for them. Islam never said no to this practice but scholars do warn that this limits the dynamics of an Islamic state to grow and creates dogmatism which gives birth to fanatics'.

Reverts to Islam should follow the way of the salaf (pious companions of Muhummed) which opens the doors to research and scholarly works of all great imams.

Reverts to islam should stop at nothing in the quest for knowledge and abandon blind following of a single imam. Rather learn from all because Muhummed in his wisdom never ever even spoke of them by name. The books of the Salaf are easily available.There is no such thing as a hanafi,shafi,hambali,maliki,jafari,shia,sunni etc,etc but rather I say yes,yes we are:

Muhummedis. We follow Muhammed exclusively

What is the position of sunnah in islam

The word sunnah is mentioned In the quran and refered to as a general way or a rule.Allah xplains the sunah of the people of the past so as to guide u with his sunnah. for a convert to islam the sunnah can be understood as a very wide open expanse.an example is when Allah says read ur salaah and pay ur zakaah.in reality the nature of prayer and charity is to be found in the lifestyle of muhamed. The wisdom is huge and the scholars are endless.islam covers a whole spere of human activities.hundreds of points needed to be explained by muhumed in actions and words. the convert requires the quran and the sunnah to get more clearity in the wisdom of the sunnah.

So what is hadith

Muhammed (saw) is reported on many occasions to have

instructed his followers to record and report his sayings and words.in the sahih of bukari a hadith related to the last sermon was very clear in these statement saying “take my mesage to those who are not here today” when people embraced islam they requested a man to come and teach there people.the mesenger and companions were fully aware that the sunnah must be preserved for future generations. Muadh bin jabal was if he could not find a solution in the book of Allah what would he do and he replied I will look at the sunnah of muhumed (saw).

This clearly shows hadith and sunnah were recorded by the prohet(saw) command in his lifetime.we know of Abd Allah ibn Amr in many narrations saying that “I used to write everything I heard from the prohet (saw) intending to comit it to memory,(some took objection)I spoke to the prophet about who said Write down for I only speak the truth. [(1)]

We know of memory as a primary source but the prophet also feared hadith should not get mixed up with purity of the quran.thus what he emphasized to Abu hurairah who was writing hadith was that a book other that the quran may create a problem. Islam used memory as the main source of transmission, this is the miracle nature of preservation in islam.he objection was concern but the messenger of Allah wrote letters and treaties showing he never went against writing. Allah says “Allah teaches men the use of the Pen” in the first five verses revealed. Preservation by pen was active and will remain so.

jami ad tirmidhi by imam hafiz abu isa muhamed ibn isa.

Hadith is a collection of narrations of the life of Muhummed by various Muslim scholars through the first 3 centuries of Islam. A list of works will follow to give an idea of how much of Mohummed's life was recorded. There was much debate amongst companions to bring proof after the prophet passed away.Abu bakr (ra) [1] always asked for witnesses in hadith narration.

Muwatta of imam malik 3000 hadith Muwatta of imam muhamed bin shaybaan 1000 hadith Rissalah of imam shafi Musnad of ahmed 1. muwatta of imam malik 3000	Sahih of al bukari 7397 hadith Sahih of muslim 6000 hadith Sunan of abu dawood 4800 statements Sunan of ibn majah 1500 Sunan of an nisai 3000

jami ad tirmidhi by imam hafiz abu isa muhamed ibn isa.

Collectively the amount of narrations amount up into thousands. The collectors of hadith had students who always upgraded there works and made it more easy for the later. Thus after detailed analysis' of the statements of Muhummad they always asked did Muhummad say that. Under scrutiny scholars of the salaf have established and codified most Islamic laws. However the doors of ijtihad remain open and always will.

If we can prove Muhummed said it! then we will follow the wisdom

What does Islam say about Saints

Saint worship is strongly forbidden in Pure Islam? Blindly following a saint is also not in the constitution of a true believer. The prophet Muhummed's advice on his deathbed bed was that **"Oh Muslims do not be like the nations of the past who made the Graves of there saints and prophets into places of worship".**

Saints themselves in the house of Islam need the prayers of living breathing people, because they themselves are to be questioned on the Day of Judgment. A day were no man will hide from he's own actions. The prophet advised "every son of Adam is a sinner and indeed the best of sinners is he who asks Allah to forgive him"

The Sufi order of Islam was strongly criticized by great muslim mujtahid thinkers in the past.they argued that it killed the political dynamics of pure islam. Today Sufism boasts over twenty thousand sects and millions of followers. The beauty it spreads is total division in the Islamic brotherhood. Every sect has a mentality that they are most correct. If any were to go back and purify there beliefs and destroy innovations. We would most certainly have a pure unified brotherhood.

Don't Muslims worship the star and the moon

The emblem always seen as a star and a moon on the Muslim flags give the impression that the star and moon may have some religious

significance. The truth is that when Islam spread across the great fertile lands of Egypt and Syria, Muslims were proud to have conquered the land of the Crescent. When the whole land of Judea was taken stretching from the Euphrates to Tigris Rivers these were the lands of the star, the land of Prophet David (peace be upon him), thus Islam symbolically used the star and the moon as a emblem. **Muslims do not worship anything Allah has created.**

So is the path of Sufism (mystics)allowed In Islam

The path of mystics can be traced as early as Dionysius. A famous Christian mystic. The famous mystic jalaludeen rumi (the Roman) is clearly found to have transformed the philosophical works of Dionysius and reinovated it to Muslims. Amongst the galaxy of philosophers were al ghazali, ibn arabi etc, they followed the path of tassawuf and were referred to as Sufis. A claim is also made by Sufis that they share a spiritual line too the prophet Mohummed's nephew, Ali and that Ali (ra) showered this light to a selected few.

The scholars of hadith are clear that modern day Sufism has a very small or almost no place in Islam. The Ashaab Assufa or Sufis who lived in the time of the prophet loved Muhummed so much that they collected every hadith making them amongst the best collectors of hadith. The most famous hadith narrator Abu hurrairah (ra) is the greatest of sufi muhadith. Non of them have ever mentioned that the prophet Muhummed ever sat in a corner reciting Allahs name thousands of times and falling unconscious. They never refered to this type of

Zikr.

The true message in light of the Qur'an and hadith in reference to zikrullah is clearly relevant to the reflection of Allahs majesty in creation and after having recognized Allah u submit in worship. Allah says in the the quran "that righteousness is not to face yourr head to the the east and the west but rather to serve mankind by feeding the poor and establishing kindness practically creating a social structure". If people discuss science or quran reflecting on Allah Greatness then this is Zikrullah. If sufi shaykhs and followers of today were truly sincere in there love for Allah and tried to study hadith putting it into its pure context, the way it deserved to be studied then they would see how there mystic saints have hijacked there minds.

Many Sufi leaders don't or hardly ever really give reference to authentic sources for the stories they make up. Many of these stories give birth to innovations. Many even go to an extreme of creating analogies from quran text and twisting hadith to fit into there brand of Islam. One such clear example is the issue of Wasillah. the ulama of Deoband and sufi silsilas say that it is believed that reciting the name of saints and then asking Allah throught there names is permisable.

A famous book called Mashaikhi chist written by Shaykh Zakariya kandlawi in his sharjah on page 2 says that this form of dua is permissible. All I demand is when did the messenger of Allah or his companions ever mention this type of dua in Islam. Innovations are a reality today in Islam and **the greatest sunnah in today's time will be to run away from a bidah (innovation in**

worship).

As Muslims we are unified and we will remain unified. The sooner we destroy innovations the sooner we will solve problems relevant to a socio economic Islam. Muslims must be active politically.

Sitting and making zikr in Khanqahs is a rather selfish way, and in direct conflict with what Mohammed stood for. It was Muhummed (May the peace and blessings of Allah be upon him) who recited to us the words bismillah hir rahmana nir rahim. This means in the name of Allah the supremly compassionate the supremely merciful. These words in context openly declare a war against any organized body, government, King or movement who's leaders declare themselves as **merciful kings filled with compassion.** [(1)] Allah has given us Islam to transform and liberate all of mankind by way of action. **It was the great scholar Said Qutb who said "do not say you a Muslim, when in reality you hate practicing the dynamic form of al Islam".** [(2)]–

Scientific accuracies in the light of Islam

Men of science have so commonly questioned the accuracies of the Qur'an and always wonder as to how much evidence is there in the Qur'an to prove evolution, geology, archeology, space exploration etc. Well there is clearly an estimated 500 verses from the quran that directly respond to all these questions putting them to rest. I will give a few examples. Please understand these verses were revealed to Muhummed in the Arabian desert 1500 years ago, and note that he could not read nor write. The quran was

taught to him by angel Gabriel who made him recite and memorize.

A reference to many scientific findings that confirm the Quranic truths can be easily found on the internet by Our Great scholars Harun Yahya, Maurice Bucuille, Dr zakir naik etc .they cover many subjects in detail and it is a must read for seekers of Knowledge. All these subjects are mentioned with detailed accuracy in the Quranic verses.

The Big bang theory

Allah the exalted says "will the agnostic and atheist not see how Allah created the universe from smoke (air,nothing ,invisible substance) and cleft it asunder.

Indeed Allah has created an expandind universe**"**

The solar apex discovered in 20th century

The sun we created to swim in its orbit until an appointed time.a command from Allah.

Swimming means energy burnout an an appointed tym means extinguish.orbit means the sun move along the the solar apex.

The evolution of men

"And Allah taught Adam the nature of things"

It means continous improvement through an evolution of the human body yet the morality and behavior of a human will become more disciplined if he chooses.

meteorology, archeology, biology, embryology etc, etc.

Tafsir of surah Fatiha by shaykh munir hasan a contemporary and political tafsir

Said qutb was the leading thinker behind the movement of al ikwaan al muslimeen in egypt. His books were milstones and in the shade of the Quraan.

Muslim scientist and there contribution to the modern world

Pathology and harmacopoeia

Abu Ali Al Husain-Al-Sina, 980-1037 AC. His name was westernized to Avicenna. The first Physician to canonize in his medical journal 760 drugs dealing with every part of the body. It was translated in the 12th century by Gerard of Cremona. Ibne Sina's influence on European medicine was never equaled. He is undoubtedly the greatest intellectual giant of the middle ages.

Engineering

Ibn Taymiyah 1500 AC. designed the first moving engine with six pistons and crank shaft out of timber to draw water from a river in Syria.400 years before the west invented the steam engine.

Algebra, mathematics

Al Fazari 773 AC. began the science of counting and inventing the numerical numbers using the zero which he brought from India to the world.

Al Kwawarizmi 780-850 AC his famous book in Latin known as Trattati d Arithmetic is in the heartland of Rome and still exist today.it is considered the most comprehensive work on math during the midlle ages.His

book al jabr influenced the teachings of the subject we know today as al gebra.

Al nasavi 900 AC is recognized as the first to systematically do accurate calculations and creating the decimal and sexagesimal sytem.hes arithmetic explains the divisions in fractions and extraction of square and cubic roots.

Anatomy and surgery

Abu al jarrah-al-Zahrawi 1013AC. He created sketches of his works in thirty volumes with human anatomy and instruments used in the surgery. His works were translated into most European languages. The Spanish muslims influenced the west with there great discoveries.

It can be said that while cristian europe was praying to the bones of there saints islam had flourished. Countless discoveries have been attributed to muslim scientists. Most of there works were taken by eurpean conquest and later translated into latin giving the authors European names..It is said that Muslims directly influenced the renaissance. An encyclopedia of Muslim scientist can be found by the author K. Jamil Ahmed in his book Heritage of Islam 1956.

“Islam” the cancer of the west

The common notion that Islam spread by the sword is again a pitiful misconception created by the west. In a recent interview with the BBC, British Home Secretary Jacqui Smith made some interesting observations about the rapid spread of Islam in Europe. According to Smith, around 50,000 Brits are converting

to Islam each year and since 2001**, four hundred thousand Brits have converted to Islam.**

She said the Muslim population in Britain has reached 2 million, **and followers of Islam are now the second biggest population in Britain after Christians.** She even suggested setting up an Islamic University in Britain given the overwhelming population of the Muslims in that country...The momentum and intensity with which Islam is spreading in the west has puzzled the policy makers, religious scholars, researchers and media there. **The conversions have especially gained unimaginable momentum after 9/11**. Most of the researchers believe that the fast erosion of religious and cultural values in Western societies are pushing its people towards Islam. **Islam offers a more comprehensive, well-knit and value-oriented cultural, social and family structure.**

According to recent report s. hundreds of new mosques are coming up in the west and most of the European cities get to hear the call to prayer five times a day. In Rome, a grand mosque will be coming up at a cost of $30 million for which the land has been donated by the government.

“Islam is at the doors of the Vatican” an observer exclaims.

As per the data available at islamicpopulation.com, in 2006 the Muslim population in Europe, including Russia, was around 50.70 million. With the increase in Muslim population in Europe, the number of mosques and Islamic centers is also growing speedily**. Britain alone has more than 600 mosques and 1400 Islamic centres. France has 1300 mosques.** The estimated

population of Muslims in France would reach around 8 million during the next few years. Italy 5 %, Germany 5 % 1400 mosques, France 8 %, Albania 70 %,turkey 95%,bosnia 40 %

It has unfortunately become a reality that the Christian fear Islam’s rapid spread in Europe. Europe has out of desperation begun implementing laws that make it so hard or even impossible for Muslims to live there and so has the west. The banning of the scarf from the heads of Muslim women and so on is purely to get Muslims to leave Europe.

The picture is of the holy city Mecca still under construction. It will accommodate 10 000 000

pilgrims. The 5th pillar of Islam called the hajj

The fountain of Islam's Purity

After 15 years of studies, the finer details of true and pure Islam come clear. With a deep breath I exclaim!

My mind has become intoxicated by the fountain of perfumes Islam emits.

It was my rebellious nature that made me question every religion and every book of God, It was Islam that answered every question i asked, I had covered every possible angle in my 15 years of research. I conclude this part of my book on this blessed day of 25 Ramadan 1430 AH

I confess to the truth that Islam presents

I bear witness to the fact that there is only one uniquely pure Allah and I bear witness to the fact that Mohamed is the last and seal of prophets.

The poet exclaims: ah! These are but the crumbs I see, left over for me after a

mighty feast.

Crazy man and God

Time pity's no soul nor does it question why we live and die. Time-present and future gets created as we breathe, who is this God we believe in? he creates time and vaporises history. Time simply does not exist. Mankind in turmoil lacks reason and time to Question and think. We make legitimate that which is illegitimate. We pass onto future generations' our ideas of times gone by. In our meek desire to worship a creator we bring into being concepts of a mighty god, two, three and four. So I ask how many Gods are there and have they spoken to us. Can we prove that they did? All I want is physical proof and not just believe and swallow.

It is my God given right! My intellect! My desire! I will meet my creator. He is the Mighty maker of time.